## Additional material for Chapter 4, Hamilton-Jacobi theory

Chapter 4 concerns the equation

$$I_s + H(s, q, I_q) = 0,$$
 (1)

which is split as

$$I_s + H(s,q,p) = 0, \quad p = I_q.$$

Differentiating (1) with respect to q we get

$$I_{sq} + H_q + H_p I_{qq} = 0$$

Consider q = q(s) as unknown function of s and define  $p(s) = I_q(s, q(s))$  and z(s) = I(s, q(s)). If we demand that  $\dot{q} = H_p$  along the curve  $s \to (q(s), p(s))$ , then

$$\dot{p} = \frac{dp}{ds} = \underbrace{I_{qs}(s, q(s))}_{-H_q - H_p I_{qq}} + I_{qq}(s, q(s))\dot{q}(s) = -H_q + \underbrace{(\dot{q} - H_p)}_{0}I_{qq} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{z} = \underbrace{I_s + I_q \dot{q}}_{-H + p H_p}$$

 $\mathbf{So}$ 

$$\dot{q} = H_p, \quad \dot{p} = -H_q, \quad \dot{z} = -H + pH_p,$$

in which H = H(s, q(s), p(s)). Chapter 4 shows, among other things, how solutions of  $\dot{q} = H_p$ ,  $\dot{p} = -H_q$  define solutions of (1) by considering the integral I(q, s) below, starting from the standard Lagrangian integral

$$I = \int L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t) dt$$

over some bounded time interval which will be renamed later. I am skipping indices for x and  $\dot{x}$  throughout these notes. It is a minimal typographical operation to put them in later.

What was F and u before in Chapter 1 is now L and x, and p will be neither  $\dot{u}$  nor  $\dot{x}$ : the letter p will be used for

$$p = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{x}} = L_{\dot{x}}, \quad L = L(t, x, \dot{x}), \quad H(t, x, p) = p\dot{x} - L(t, x, \dot{x}),$$

in which  $\dot{x}$  is a symbol for now. Invertibility of the (t,x) -dependent transformation  $\dot{x} \to p$  corresponds to

$$L(t, x, \dot{x}) + H(t, x, p) = p\dot{x}$$
 with  $p = L_{\dot{x}} \iff \dot{x} = H_p$  and  $L_x + H_x = 0$ .

**Exercise 1.** Assuming that L is  $C^2$  with  $L_{xx}$  invertible: prove these equalities without making obvious mistakes, and show that the Lagrangian equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_{\dot{x}} = L_x \qquad (\text{i.e.} \quad \frac{d}{dt}L_{\dot{x}}(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) = L_x(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)))$$

are equivalent to the Hamiltonian equations

$$\dot{x} = H_p; \, \dot{p} = -H_x$$
 (i.e.  $\dot{x}(t) = H_p(x(t), p(t)); \, \dot{p}(t) = -H_x(x(t), p(t))$ ).

Distinguish carefully between symbols  $x, \dot{x}, p$  and functions  $x(t), \dot{x}(t), p(t)$ , between partial and total derivatives, and show that  $\dot{H} = H_t$ . Generalize to  $x, \dot{x}, p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . **Exercise 2.** In a more general setting, with L = L(y) (think of  $y = \dot{x}$ ), if  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex and open, and if  $L \in C^k(\Omega)$  with  $k \geq 2$  has a matrix of second derivatives which is positive definite throughout  $\Omega$  (in other words:  $L_{yy} > 0$ ), then the map

$$\phi: y \to p = L_y \in \mathbb{R}^r$$

is locally a  ${\cal C}^{k-1}$  diffeomorphism, as a direct consequence of the inverse function theorem.

- Prove that  $\phi$  is injective. Hint: assume first that  $0 \in \Omega$  and  $\phi(0) = 0$  and examine  $\phi(y) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \phi(ty) dt$  and  $y \cdot \phi(y)$ .
- Prove that  $\Omega^* = \phi(\Omega)$  is open.
- Thus the inverse map  $\psi : p \in \Omega^* \to y \in \Omega$  exists and is  $C^{k-1}$ . Define  $L^*(p) = p \cdot y L(y)$ . Prove that  $L^* : \Omega^* \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^k$  with  $L_{pp}^* > 0$ . Hint: show that the first order derivatives of  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  have the same smoothness.
- Prove that  $y = \psi(p)$  globally maximizes  $p \cdot y L(y)$ . Thus

$$L^*(p) = \max_{y \in \Omega} p \cdot y - L(y),$$

which is usually called the Legendre transform of L.

- Explain why  $p \cdot y \leq L(y) + L^*(p)$  with equality only if  $p = \phi(y) = L_y$ .
- Assume that  $\Omega^*$  is convex. Show that  $(L^*)^* = L$ . Hint: use the symmetry above.
- Example: let  $1 < s < \infty$  and  $L(y) = \frac{1}{s}|y|^s$ . Determine  $L^*(p)$ . Hint: we wrote s instead of p because p is already in use.
- Suppose  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Express the Legendre transform of  $y \to L(\alpha y)$  in terms of  $L^*$ .

The chapter is concerned about I as a function of the boundary conditions

$$x(\sigma) = \kappa$$
 and  $x(s) = q$  leading to  $I = I(s,q) = I(s,\sigma,q,\kappa),$  (2)

and finding stationary points for  $I(s, \sigma, q, \kappa)$  when s and q are fixed and  $\sigma$  and  $\kappa$  are varied over a manifold of the form  $T(\sigma, \kappa) = 0$ . First however we consider the case that  $\sigma$  and  $\kappa$  are fixed, say  $\sigma = 0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\kappa = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (with notationally n = 1). Thus

$$I(s,q) = \int_0^s L(t,x(t),\dot{x}(t))dt$$

in which x(t) solves

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_{\dot{x}} = L_x, \quad x(0) = 0, \quad x(s) = q.$$

**Exercise 3.** Take a simple example, say

$$L(t, x, \dot{x}) = L(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^2 - V(x)$$

with V a smooth function. Assume that x = x(t; s, q) is a solution which depends smoothly on s and q. Find differential equations and boundary conditions for  $x_s$  and  $x_q$  as we did in the course and derive a first order partial differential equation for I(s,q).

**Exercise 4.** We did not discuss the solvability of the boundary value problems for  $x_s$  and  $x_q$ . Consider the general case, i.e. with (2). Suppose that  $I(s_0, q_0)$  is realised by a solution x = x(t). Explain why you can compute  $I_s$ ,  $I_q$ ,  $I_\sigma$  and  $I_\kappa$  if (s, q) and  $(\sigma, \kappa)$  are not conjugate along the solution.

**Exercise 5.** (continued) Keep  $\sigma$  and  $\kappa$  fixed. Derive that  $I_s + H = 0$  and explain which arguments you should have in H.

## **Background: characteristics**

In relation to the first order equation for I = I(s, q) we encountered you may have seen the following. I am using the notation that Evans uses in his PDE book.

Let F = F(x, z, p) be a function of (x, z, p). A general first order equation ordinary differential equation

$$F(x, u(x), u_x(x)) = 0$$

for u = u(x) can be solved by putting

$$x = x(\tau), \quad z = z(\tau) = u(x(\tau)), \quad p = p(\tau) = u_x(x(\tau)).$$

Differentiating  $F(x(\tau), z(\tau), p(\tau)) = 0$  with respect to  $\tau$  we get, omitting the arguments,

$$F_x \dot{x} + F_z \dot{z} + F_p \dot{p} = 0.$$

Here dots denoting differentiation with respect to the artificial time variable  $\tau$ . Since

$$\dot{z} = u_x \dot{x} = p \dot{x},$$

we must have

$$(F_x + pF_z)\dot{x} + F_p\dot{p} = 0,$$

which is certainly the case if we put

$$\dot{x} = F_p, \quad \dot{p} = -F_x - pF_z,$$

whence

$$\dot{z} = p\dot{x} = pF_p$$

We have thus "reduced" a simple first order ODE to a 3-dimensional system of first ODE's for x, p, z. The right hand side of  $\dot{z} = \cdots$  has to be rewritten using F = 0, depending on the exact form of the equation.

With  $p_i = u_{x_i}$  you easily see that first order PDE's

$$F(x_1,\ldots,x_n,u,u_{x_1},\ldots,u_{x_n})=0$$

in n variables lead to (numbering i = 1, ..., n)

$$\dot{x}_i = F_{p_i}, \quad \dot{p}_i = -F_{x_i} - p_i F_z, \quad \dot{z} = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j F_{p_j}.$$

This is called the method of characteristics. Note it may happen that the right hand sides  ${\cal F}_{p_i}$  in the equations

$$\dot{x}_i = F_{p_i}$$

depend only on the independent variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . Solution curves of this system in *n*-dimensional *x*-space are called characteristics.

Of course you may also treat equations of the form

$$u_t + H(x, t, u, u_x) = 0,$$

Exercise 6. Show that you get

$$\dot{x} = H_p, \quad \dot{p} = -H_x - pH_z, \quad \dot{z} = pH_p - H_p$$

(in which H depends on t, x, z, p).

**Exercise 7.** Show that equations of the form

$$u_t + H(x_1, \dots, x_n, t, u, u_{x_1}, \dots, u_{x_n}) = 0,$$

lead to

$$\dot{x}_i = H_{p_i}, \quad \dot{p}_i = -H_{x_i} - p_i H_z, \quad \dot{z} = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j H_{p_j} - H_j$$