



# Continuous time Leech problems for rational operator functions

Freek van Schagen, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

October, 13-14, 2022, Amsterdam

*(Joint work with Art Frazho and Rien Kaashoek)*

# Notations

$$x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{C}^{n \times m}) \text{ means } x = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ x_{-1} \\ x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^2 < \infty, \\ x_k \text{ are } n \times m \text{ matrices.}$$

$\ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^{n \times m})$  consists of all  $x$  with  $x_k = 0$  if  $k < 0$ .

$$X(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} z^k x_k \text{ for } x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{C}^{n \times m}).$$

If  $x \in \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^{n \times m})$  then  $X(z)$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Here  $\mathbb{D}$  is the interior of the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ .

# Notations

For  $x \in \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^{n \times m})$  the Toeplitz operator  $T_X$  is

$$T_X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ x_1 & x_0 & 0 & \cdots \\ x_2 & x_1 & x_0 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots \end{bmatrix} : \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

$$\|T_X\| = \operatorname{ess\,sup}\{\|X(z)\| : |z| = 1\}.$$

For  $x \in \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^{m \times m})$  the Hankel operator  $H_X$  is

$$H_X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & \cdots \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \cdots \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \end{bmatrix} : \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

$$\|H_X\| \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}\{\|X(z)\| : |z| = 1\}.$$

# The Nehari extension problem

Given  $\dots, \psi_{-2}, \psi_{-1}, \psi_0$  a sequence of  $m \times m$  matrices with  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^0 \|\psi_k\| < \infty$ .

Find a sequence  $(\phi_k)_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  such that

- (i) The corresponding function  $\Phi$  satisfies  $\|\Phi(z)\| < 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ .
- (ii)  $\phi_k = \psi_k$  for  $k \leq 0$
- (iii)  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\phi_k\| < \infty$ .

Necessary and sufficient

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_0 & \psi_{-1} & \psi_{-2} & \cdots \\ \psi_{-1} & \psi_{-2} & \psi_{-3} & \cdots \\ \psi_{-2} & \psi_{-3} & \psi_{-4} & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \end{bmatrix} : \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m) \text{ is a strict contraction.}$$

In that case a solution can be constructed as follows.

# The Nehari extension problem

(  $H$  is a strict contraction.)

$$\text{Let } \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = (I - H^*H)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_m \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_{-1} \\ u_{-2} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $\Phi(z) = U(z)V(z)^{-1}$  is a solution.

# The Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem

Given  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N$  different points in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N$  matrices of size  $m \times m$ .

Find a sequence  $(\phi_k)_{k=0}^\infty$  of  $m \times m$  matrices with  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \|\phi_k\| < \infty$  such that the corresponding function  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  satisfies

- (i)  $\sup\{\|\Phi(z)\| : z \in \mathbb{D}\} < 1$  ;
- (ii)  $\Phi(z_k) = y_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, N$ .

To find a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution requires some preparation.

Put  $A(z) = \sum_{k=1}^N y_k \left( \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^N \frac{z - z_k}{z_j - z_k} \right)$  and  $B(z) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{z - z_k}{1 - \bar{z}_k z}$ .

Let  $\Psi = AB^{-1}$  and  $\psi_k$  be the  $k$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $\Psi$ .

Necessary and sufficient

# The Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_0 & \psi_{-1} & \psi_{-2} & \cdots \\ \psi_{-1} & \psi_{-2} & \psi_{-3} & \cdots \\ \psi_{-2} & \psi_{-3} & \psi_{-4} & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \end{bmatrix} : \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m) \text{ is a strict contraction.}$$

In that case: Let  $\begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = (I - H^*H)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_m \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$  and

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_{-1} \\ u_{-2} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $\Phi(z) = U(z)V(z)^{-1}$  is a solution.



# The Leech problem in discrete time

Given  $G$  an  $m \times p$  and  $K$  an  $m \times q$  rational matrix valued bounded function on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Let  $T_G : \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^p) \rightarrow \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$  and  $T_K : \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^q) \rightarrow \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$  be the corresponding Toeplitz operators.

A  $p \times q$  rational matrix function  $X$  is a solution to the Leech problem if

- (i)  $\sup\{\|X(z)\| : z \in \mathbb{D}\} < 1$  ;
- (ii)  $GX = K$

Necessary and sufficient condition is that

$\Lambda = T_G^*(T_G T_G^*)^{-1} T_K : (\text{Ker } T_G)^\perp \rightarrow \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^p)$  is a strict contraction.

In that case a solution is constructed as follows.

## The Leech problem in discrete time

$$\text{Let } \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = (I - \Lambda^* \Lambda)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_m \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \Lambda \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $\det V(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $V^{-1}$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

The function  $X = UV^{-1}$  is such that  $K = GX$  and  $\|X\|_\infty < 1$ . It is a solution to the Leech problem.

With rational  $G$  and  $K$  we have the minimal realization

$$\begin{bmatrix} G(z) & K(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \end{bmatrix} + zC(I_n - zA)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The solution  $X$  can be expressed in  $A, B_1, B_2, C, D_1, D_2$  and (matrix) solutions of related Lyapunov and Riccati equations.

## Definitions and notations

$\mathbb{C}_+ = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re s > 0\}$ .  $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{n \times m})$  consists of all functions  $F$  on  $\mathbb{C}_+$  such that

$$\|F\|_\infty = \sup\{\|F(s)\| : s \in \mathbb{C}_+\} < \infty.$$

Let  $f_o$  be an integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . The Laplace transform of  $f_o$  is defined as

$$(\mathfrak{L}f_o)(s) = \int_0^\infty f_o(t)e^{-st}dt \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}_+)$$

and is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}_+$ . For  $F(s) = D + (\mathfrak{L}f_o)(s)$  we define the Wiener-Hopf operator  $T_F : L_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow L_+^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$  by putting

$$(T_F u)(t) = Du(t) + \int_0^t f_o(t - \tau)u(\tau)d\tau \quad (0 \leq t < \infty).$$

## The Leech problem in discrete time(2)

Given  $G$  an  $m \times p$  and  $K$  an  $m \times q$  rational matrix valued bounded function on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Let  $T_G : \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^p) \rightarrow \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$  and  $T_K : \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^q) \rightarrow \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$  be the corresponding Toeplitz operators.

A  $p \times q$  rational matrix function  $X$  is a solution to the Leech problem if

- (i)  $\sup\{\|X(z)\| : z \in \mathbb{D}\} < 1$  ;
- (ii)  $GX = K$

Necessary and sufficient condition is that

$\Lambda = T_G^*(T_G T_G^*)^{-1} T_K : (\text{Ker } T_G)^\perp \rightarrow \ell_+^2(\mathbb{C}^p)$  is a strict contraction.

# The Leech problem in continuous time

Given  $G$  an  $m \times p$  and  $K$  an  $m \times q$  rational matrix valued bounded function on  $\mathbb{C}_+$ .

Let  $T_G : L_+^2(\mathbb{C}^p) \rightarrow L_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$  and  $T_K : L_+^2(\mathbb{C}^q) \rightarrow L_+^2(\mathbb{C}^m)$  be the corresponding Wiener Hopf operators.

A  $p \times q$  rational matrix function  $X$  is a solution to the Leech problem if

- (i)  $\sup\{\|X(s)\| : s \in \mathbb{C}_+\} < 1$  ;
- (ii)  $GX = K$

Necessary and sufficient condition is that  $\Lambda = T_G^*(T_G T_G^*)^{-1} T_K$  : is a strict contraction.

# The Cayley transform

$c : \mathbb{C}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  and  $c^{-1} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_+$  given by

$$c(s) = \frac{s-1}{s+1}, \quad c^{-1}(z) = \frac{1-z}{1+z}.$$

Solutions of discrete time Leech problem correspond in a one to one way with solutions of the continuous time Leech problem.

$$\begin{bmatrix} G(s) \\ K(s) \\ X(s) \end{bmatrix} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{G}(z) = G(c^{-1}(z)) \\ \tilde{K}(z) = K(c^{-1}(z)) \\ \tilde{X}(z) = X(c^{-1}(z)) \end{bmatrix}$$

continuous time  $\longleftrightarrow$  discrete time

## Towards a formula in continuous time

To determine  $V(z)$  in the discrete case we had

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = (I - \Lambda^* \Lambda)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_m \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $(I - \Lambda^* \Lambda)^{-1} = [v_{jk}]_{j,k=0}^{\infty}$  then  $v_j = v_{j0}$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ).

For the continuous time we want a similar formula. Assume

$$((I - \Lambda^* \Lambda)^{-1} g)(t) = \int_0^{\infty} v(t, \tau) g(\tau) d\tau.$$

Take  $v(t) = v(t, 0)$ . We define

$$\int_0^{\infty} v(t, \tau) \delta(\tau) d\tau = v(t, 0).$$

This makes sense if  $v(t, \tau)$  is continuous. (So rational is sufficient!)

## Theorem: Solution of continuous time Leech problem

Assume that  $\Lambda = T_G^* (T_G T_G^*)^{-1} T_K$  is a strict contraction. Let  $X$  be the function defined by

$$X(s) = U(s)V(s)^{-1} \quad (1)$$

where  $U(s)$  and  $V(s)$  are the functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned} U(s) &= \left( \mathfrak{L} \Lambda (I - \Lambda^* \Lambda)^{-1} \delta \right)(s) \\ V(s) &= \left( \mathfrak{L} (I - \Lambda^* \Lambda)^{-1} \delta \right)(s). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here  $\mathfrak{L}$  is the Laplace transform.

- (i) Then  $X$  is a solution to our Leech problem. To be precise,  $X$  is a function in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{p \times q})$  satisfying  $G(s)X(s) = K(s)$  and  $\|X\|_\infty < 1$ .
- (ii) Moreover,  $V(s)$  is an invertible outer function, that is, both  $V(s)$  and  $V(s)^{-1}$  are functions in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{q \times q})$ .



In fact, the function

$$\Theta(s) = V(\infty)^{\frac{1}{2}} V(s)^{-1}$$

is the outer spectral factor for the function  $I - X^*(-\bar{s})X(s)$ , that is

$$I - X(-\bar{s})^* X(s) = \Theta(-\bar{s})^* \Theta(s).$$

# Realizations

Given is the minimal realization

$$\begin{bmatrix} G(s) & K(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \end{bmatrix} + C(sI - A)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We assume  $T_G T_G^* - T_K T_K^*$  strictly positive or equivalently  $\Lambda = T_G (T_G T_G^*)^{-1} T_K$  strictly contractive.

Then  $R_0 = D_1 D_1^* - D_2 D_2^*$  is strictly positive.

Denote by  $\Delta$  the solution of the Lyapunov equation

$$A\Delta + \Delta A^* + B_1 B_1^* - B_2 B_2^* = 0$$

Put  $\Gamma = B_1 D_1^* - B_2 D_2^* + \Delta C^*$ .

Let  $Q$  be the stabilizing solution of the Riccati equation

$$A^* Q + Q A + (C - \Gamma^* Q)^* R_0^{-1} (C - \Gamma^* Q) = 0.$$

That is:  $Q$  is strictly positive and  $A_0 = A - \Gamma R_0^{-1} (C - \Gamma^* Q)$  is stable.

# Realizations

Let

$$g_o(t) = C_1 e^{tA} B \quad \text{with } A \text{ stable and } t \geq 0.$$

Then

$$(\mathcal{L}g_o)(s) = C_1(sI - A)^{-1}B.$$

Therefore with  $G(s) = D_1 + C_1(sI - A)^{-1}B$  and  $f \in L_+(\mathbb{C}^p)$ :

$$(T_G f)(t) = D_1 f(t) + \int_0^\infty C_1 e^{(t-\tau)A} B f(\tau) d\tau.$$

## Theorem: Realization of the solution $X$ .

Let  $G$  and  $K$  be given by the minimal (stable) realization of the previous slide. Furthermore, assume that  $T_G T_G^* - T_K T_K^*$  is strictly positive.

Then a solution  $X$  to Leech problem with data  $G$  and  $K$  is given by the following stable state space realization:

$$\begin{aligned} X(s) &= D_1^\dagger D_2 + C_x (sI - \mathbf{A})^{-1} (B_2 - B_1 D_1^\dagger D_2) \\ C_x &= D_1^\dagger C + (I - D_1^\dagger D_1) B_1^* Q (I - \Delta Q)^{-1} \\ \mathbf{A} &= A - B_1 C_x \\ D_1^\dagger &= D_1^* (D_1 D_1^*)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The operator  $\mathbf{A}$  is stable, and  $\|X\|_\infty < 1$ .

Finally, the McMillan degree of  $X$  is less than or equal to the McMillan degree of  $\begin{bmatrix} G & K \end{bmatrix}$ .

## Theorem: realization of $V(s)$ .

Recall  $X(s) = U(s)V(s)^{-1}$ .

Put  $C_0 = R_0^{-1}(C - \Gamma^*Q)$  and accept that  $I - \Delta Q$  is invertible.

Assume that  $\Lambda = T_G(T_G T_G^*)^{-1}T_K$  strictly contractive. Consider the function  $V(s)$  defined by

$$V(s) = (\mathcal{L}(I - \Lambda^*\Lambda)^{-1}\delta)(s) \quad (4)$$

where  $\delta(t)$  is the Dirac delta function.

Then a state space realization for  $V(s)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V(s) &= (I + D_2^*R_0^{-1}D_2) + (D_2^*C_0 + B_2^*Q)(sI - A_0)^{-1}\mathbb{B}, \\ \mathbb{B} &= (I - \Delta Q)^{-1}(B_2 - B_1D_1^\dagger D_2)(I + D_2^*R_0^{-1}D_2). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Because  $A_0$  is stable,  $V$  is a function in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{q \times q})$ .

## Theorem: Realization of $U(s)$

Assume that  $\Lambda = T_G(T_G T_G^*)^{-1} T_K$  strictly contractive.  
Consider the function  $U(s)$  defined by

$$U(s) = (\mathfrak{L}\Lambda(I - \Lambda^*\Lambda)^{-1}\delta)(s). \quad (6)$$

Then a state space realization for  $U(s)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U(s) &= D_1^* R_0^{-1} D_2 + (D_1^* C_0 + B_1^* Q)(sI - A_0)^{-1} \mathbb{B} \\ \mathbb{B} &= (I - \Delta Q)^{-1} (B_2 - B_1 D_1^\dagger D_2) (I + D_2^* R_0^{-1} D_2). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Because  $A_0$  is stable,  $U$  is a function in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{p \times q})$ .

## Theorem: Realization of $V(s)^{-1}$

Assume that  $\Lambda = T_G(T_G T_G^*)^{-1} T_K$  strictly contractive.

Consider the function  $V(s) = (\mathcal{L}(I - \Lambda^* \Lambda)^{-1} \delta)(s)$ .

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} V(s) &= D_v + C_v(sI - A_0)^{-1} \mathbb{B} \\ D_v &= (I + D_2^* R_0^{-1} D_2), \quad C_v = D_2^* C_0 + B_2^* Q \\ \mathbb{B} &= (I - \Delta Q)^{-1} (B_2 - B_1 D_1^\dagger D_2) D_v \end{aligned}$$

Then a state space realization for  $V(s)^{-1}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V(s)^{-1} &= D_v^{-1} - D_v^{-1} C_v (I - \Delta Q)^{-1} (sI - \mathbf{A})^{-1} (B_2 - B_1 D_1^\dagger D_2), \\ \mathbf{A} &= A - B_1 D_1^\dagger C - B_1 (I - D_1^\dagger D_1) B_1^* Q (I - \Delta Q)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the operator  $\mathbf{A}$  is stable. In particular,  $V(s)$  is an invertible outer function, that is, both  $V(s)$  and its inverse  $V(s)^{-1}$  are functions in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{q \times q})$ .

## The operator $T_R$

$$R(s) = G(s)G(-\bar{s})^* - K(s)K(-\bar{s})^*.$$

Then

$$R(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}\Gamma + R_0 - \Gamma^*(sI + A^*)^{-1}C^*.$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}R_0 &= D_1D_1^* - D_2D_2^*, \\ \Gamma &= B_1D_1^* - B_2D_2^* + \Delta C^*,\end{aligned}$$

'Here  $\Delta$  is the unique solution of the Lyapunov equation

$$A\Delta + \Delta A^* + B_1B_1^* - B_2B_2^* = 0.$$

The Wiener-Hopf operator  $T_R$  plays an important role in the proofs.

$$(T_R y)(t) = R_0 y(t) + \int_0^t C e^{A(t-\tau)} \Gamma y(\tau) d\tau + \int_t^\infty \Gamma^* e^{A^*(\tau-t)} C^* y(\tau) d\tau$$



A.E. Frazho, M.A. Kaashoek, F. van Schagen,  
Solving continuous time Leech problems for rational operator functions,  
Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 94, 32 (2022).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-022-02710-7>

# Thank you for your attention