

Homework 1, Percolation 2014 (four exercises).

Please give crisp and clear computations/argumentations. The solutions should be given to René Conijn (as hard-copy, or as pdf file by e-mail) before 10 a.m. Monday, October 13. His e-mail address is r.p.conijn@vu.nl

1. Let $d \geq 2$, and let $B(n)$ denote the box $[-n, n]^d$ in the d -dimensional (hyper-) cubic lattice. Suppose the edges of this box are, independently of each other, open with probability p and closed with probability $1 - p$. We interpret this box as a porous stone. Water can only flow through open edges. We put the stone in water, and define f as the fraction of vertices in the stone that will be wetted. Show that

$$E_p(f) \rightarrow \theta(p), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $E_p(f)$ denotes the expectation of f , and $\theta(p)$ is the probability that (for bond percolation on the d -dimensional lattice), the open cluster of the origin is infinite.

(Hint: Partition the vertices of the box in two classes: those at small distance from the boundary of the box, and those for which the distance is not small. Here ‘small’ has to be suitably defined).

2. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. 0–1 valued random variables, each being 1 with probability p . Let A be the event that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq n - 1$. Clearly, this has probability

$$g(p) := p^n + n(1 - p)p^{n-1}.$$

a) Compute, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ the probability that the index i is pivotal for the event A .

b) Use (a) to obtain the expected number of pivotal indices for A , and check that (in accordance with Russo’s formula) it agrees with the derivative of g .

3. Consider bond percolation on the square lattice with parameter $p = 1/2$. Modify the proof of Theorem 4.2 in the lecture notes to show that there is a $c > 0$ such that, for all $1 \leq m \leq n$,

$$P_{\frac{1}{2}}(B(m) \leftrightarrow \partial B(n)) \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n/m}}.$$

4. Show, for bond percolation on the square lattice, that

$$P_{1/2}\{O \leftrightarrow (1,0)\} = 3/4.$$

Hint: First consider the event that there is an open path from O to $(1,0)$ which does not contain the edge between O and $(1,0)$. (Use duality).