

Queues with delays in two-state strategies and Lévy input

R. Bekker*

Department of Mathematics

Vrije Universiteit

De Boelelaan 1081a, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

O.J. Boxma

EURANDOM and Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Eindhoven University of Technology

P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

O. Kella †

Department of Statistics

The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Mount Scopus, Jerusalem 91905, Israel

Abstract

We consider a reflected Lévy process without negative jumps, starting at the origin. When the reflected process first upcrosses level K , a timer is activated. D time units later the timer expires, and the Lévy exponent of the Lévy process is changed. As soon as the process hits zero again, the Lévy exponent reverses to the original function. If the process has reached the origin before the timer expires, then the Lévy exponent does not change.

Using martingale techniques, we analyze the steady-state distribution of the resulting process, reflected at the origin. We pay special attention to the cases of deterministic and exponential timers, and to the following three special Lévy processes: (i) a compound Poisson process plus negative drift (corresponding to an M/G/1 queue), (ii) Brownian motion, and (iii) a Lévy process that is a subordinator until the timer expires.

Keywords: M/G/1 queue; workload process; storage process; reflected Lévy process; Lévy exponent; two-state strategy; delayed feedback control.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60K25; 60J30.

1 Introduction

Communication systems are often controlled using feedback information signals that regulate the transmission of traffic. One reason for this is to regulate the traffic volume in accordance with the actual level of congestion. For example, in networks with distributed congestion control, the transmission rate of the end-users is based on an estimation of the

*Part of the research was done while the first author was affiliated to CWI, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, and Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

†Supported by grant 964/06 from the Israel Science Foundation.

level of congestion. The foremost example is the Internet which is predominantly regulated by end-users through the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) which, for this reason, has been the focus of a large body of research [22, 30]. Another example is provided by Ethernet that has significantly regained importance recently, due to its use in metropolitan networks [28]. In Ethernet it is possible, via a so-called backpressure mechanism, to adjust transfer rates from one node to another depending on the level of congestion in the latter. Significant understanding in the above-mentioned systems has been obtained through the use of performance models with simplifying assumptions on the possible delay that feedback signals may suffer. In many cases, it is assumed for analytic tractability that the traffic adaptation is instantaneous, or takes a deterministic time. In practice, the congestion information may only become available after some (random) delay. The delay can either be the result of a physical distance that separates the sender from the receiver, or control signals may be deliberately delayed so as to prevent them from putting a disproportionate load on the system that may compromise stability. Both types of feedback may typically vary over time.

The goal of the present paper is to develop stochastic models that take delayed feedback control, based on congestion levels, into account. We aim at a profound qualitative understanding of the impact of delayed feedback signals, by abstracting them from the detailed characteristics of a particular feedback-based system.

The model under consideration is a reflected Lévy process [10] without negative jumps, starting at the origin. Special cases of Lévy processes are compound Poisson processes, Brownian motion, linear drift processes, and independent sums of these. When the reflected process first upcrosses a certain level K (corresponding to a certain level of congestion), a timer is activated. D time units later (the feedback delay) the timer expires, and the Lévy exponent (also called Laplace exponent) of the Lévy process is changed. D is a random variable that is assumed to be finite w.p. 1. As soon as the reflected process hits zero again, the Lévy exponent reverses to the original function. If the process has reached the origin before the timer expires, then the Lévy exponent does not change. It should be noticed that this model contains the M/G/1 queue with delayed feedback control as a special case. In the case of a compound Poisson process with negative drift, the reflected Lévy process indeed is the workload process of an M/G/1 queue; changing the Lévy exponent now amounts to changing the drift (service speed), arrival rate and/or service requirement distribution.

The main result of the paper is the determination of the steady-state distribution of the resulting process, reflected at the origin (Theorem 3.1). We employ martingale techniques [23] and exploit several properties of Lévy processes in obtaining this result.

Related queueing/performance literature

For the case of *zero* feedback delay, there is a large collection of papers in the queueing literature regarding M/G/1 queues with workload-dependent input; we extend this literature both by introducing a non-zero delay and by considering Lévy processes. We refer to the survey [18] for a large number of references on queueing systems with state-dependent parameters. These go back to pioneering papers like [20], in which a storage system is considered that operates with two speeds, depending on the workload level. For a textbook treatment of storage systems with state-dependent release, see [5, Chapter XIV]. We refer to [8] for a study of M/G/1-type queues where both the service speed and arrival rate vary continuously with the workload. For additional references on queueing systems

with workload-dependent rates, see e.g. [7].

The literature on queueing systems driven by Lévy processes is considerably less extensive. For some studies of queues with adaptable rates driven by a Lévy process, we refer to [9, 13] and references therein.

The number of papers that take *delayed* feedback into account is also much smaller. Motivated by ATM networks, Altman et al. [3] study a discrete-time queue with delayed information on the queue length. In a slot, a service is only attempted if, given the information available to the server, it is certain that at least one customer is present. Another early study regarding communication networks with rate-based flow control and action delay is [2]. Sharma [36] considers an M/G/1-type queue in which the service rate is controlled by a scheduler who receives workload information from the queue with some delay (caused by the fact that the scheduler resides in a satellite and the queue is on earth). The paper focuses on stability conditions and on the rate of convergence to the stationary distribution. See [37, 39] for two recent studies on delayed feedback due to the use of the Automatic Repeat reQuest (ARQ) protocol in an error-prone communication medium, and [16, 17] for queue-length dependent delayed arrivals occurring in cable access networks regulated by a time-slot reservation procedure. The following paper is closest to the present study. Lee and Kim [27] consider an M/G/1 queue in which the service speed is adapted an exponential amount of time after a certain workload level is exceeded. The delay here occurs due to the fact that a set-up time is needed for changing the service speed.

Organization of the paper

Section 2 of the paper presents preliminary results on Lévy processes. Theorem 2.1 cites a known result [6, 29] on the joint transform of the first-exit time from the interval $[0, K)$ of the reflected process and the exit position of the reflected process. We use this result to obtain a suitable expression for the exit position from $[0, K)$ of the reflected process (Corollary 2.1). The steady-state distribution of the workload process under consideration is analyzed in Section 3. In three subsections we successively consider (i) the interval until the first upcrossing of level K , (ii) the interval until either the timer expires or the origin is reached, and (iii) the remaining interval until the origin is reached (the latter interval might have length zero). Taking a weighted average over the three intervals, we finally obtain the Laplace-Stieltjes transform (LST) of the steady-state workload distribution in Theorem 3.1. We pay special attention to a few specific Lévy processes: the compound Poisson process with negative drift (corresponding to an M/G/1 queue) and Brownian motion. Section 4 is devoted to the special case in which the Lévy process X is nondecreasing (a subordinator) during the first and second subinterval. This case is of interest in view of queueing models with a removable server. Since the origin cannot be hit in the first two intervals, this case leads to more convenient expressions. Relatively tractable results also occur when the timer period is exponentially distributed, which is the subject of Section 5. Some topics for future research are discussed in Section 6.

2 Preliminary results on Lévy processes

We refer to Bertoin [10] for an excellent treatment of Lévy processes. Consider a spectrally negative Lévy process $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{X}(t), t \geq 0\}$, i.e., a Lévy process with no positive jumps. In addition we assume that \tilde{X} is neither nonincreasing nor deterministic.

For such a Lévy process, the generating function of $\tilde{X}(t)$ is finite for all $\alpha \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$

and is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\alpha\tilde{X}(t)}] = e^{t\psi(\alpha)},$$

for some function $\psi(\alpha)$, which is called the *Lévy exponent*. It is also well known, that under the assumed conditions, $\psi(\alpha)$ is strictly convex on $[0, \infty)$, $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\alpha) = \infty$ (see e.g. [10]).

Let $\alpha_0 = \inf\{\alpha \geq 0 \mid \psi(\alpha) > 0\}$. If $\psi'(0+) < 0$ then $\alpha_0 > 0$ and otherwise $\alpha_0 = 0$. By strict convexity ψ is strictly increasing and continuous on $[\alpha_0, \infty)$, thus, as a function from $[\alpha_0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$, it has an inverse which we denote by Φ . For $a \geq 0$, let $\tau_a^+ = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid \tilde{X}(t) > a\}$. For a given (appropriately measurable) functional h we denote $\mathbb{E}_x h(\tilde{X}) = \mathbb{E}h(x + \tilde{X})$ and $P_x[h(\tilde{X}) \in \cdot] = P[h(x + \tilde{X}) \in \cdot]$. The transform of this exit time is given in Formula (3) of [25]:

Proposition 2.1. *For any $a \geq x$ and $s \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_x e^{-s\tau_a^+} = e^{-\Phi(s)(a-x)}.$$

In particular $P_x[\tau_a^+ < \infty] = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_x e^{-s\tau_a^+} = e^{-\Phi(0)(a-x)} = e^{-\alpha_0(a-x)}$.

In Theorem 2.1 we shall present the joint transform of the first-exit time from the interval $[0, K)$ of the reflected process and the exit position of the reflected process [6, 29]. Results on first-exit times and exit positions are in the literature often expressed in terms of the family of so-called *scale functions*, see e.g. [1, 6, 10, 11, 12, 25, 29]. In this paper, we are only concerned with exit positions, but since the results are generally studied jointly with first-exit times we introduce the more general framework first, to derive the exit position as a corollary.

Definition 2.1. *For $q \geq 0$, the q -scale function $W^{(q)} : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is the unique function whose restriction to $(0, \infty)$ is continuous and has Laplace transform*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha x} W^{(q)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\psi(\alpha) - q}, \quad \text{for } \alpha > \Phi(q),$$

and $W^{(q)}(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$.

For exit positions, it is in fact sufficient to restrict to the case $q = 0$. In that case $W(\cdot) := W^{(0)}(\cdot)$, which is also often referred to as the scale function. In some special cases, the scale function can be explicitly determined. For instance, if the Lévy process is a compound Poisson process with drift, then $W(\cdot)$ can be related to the waiting time distribution in the M/G/1 queue. For further examples and details we refer to Section 3 and [29].

Moreover, a related quantity is

$$Z^{(q)}(x) := 1 + q \int_0^x W^{(q)}(y) dy, \quad \text{for } x > 0,$$

with $Z^{(q)}(x) = 1$ for $x \leq 0$. Finally, for $c \geq 0$, define

$$\psi_c(\alpha) = \psi(c + \alpha) - \psi(c),$$

and let $W_c^{(q)}$ be the q -scale function associated with the Lévy process with exponent $\psi_c(\alpha)$, see [6, 29] for details. Accordingly, let

$$Z_c^{(q)}(x) := 1 + q \int_0^x W_c^{(q)}(y) dy, \quad \text{for } x > 0. \quad (1)$$

Now, we are ready to present the joint transform of the first-exit time and exit position of the process reflected at its maximum. To clarify what we mean, let the process $\bar{X} := \{\bar{X}(t), t \geq 0\}$ be the running supremum, i.e.,

$$\bar{X}(t) = \max \left\{ x_s, \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} \tilde{X}(u) \right\},$$

where x_s is its initial maximum. The process $Y := \bar{X} - \tilde{X}$ then represents the Lévy process reflected at its supremum. Note that $Y(0) =: z = x_s - x_0 \geq 0$. Let $\tau_K := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y(t) \notin [0, K]\}$ be the first-exit time from $[0, K]$. The joint Laplace transform of τ_K and $Y(\tau_K)$ is given in [6, Theorem 1] and [29, Corollary 3]:

Theorem 2.1. *For $u \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$, with $\tilde{X}(0) = x_0$ and $Y(0) = z \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{z, x_0} [e^{-u\tau_K - vY(\tau_K)}] = e^{-vz} \left(Z_v^{(p)}(K - z) - W_v^{(p)}(K - z) \frac{pW_v^{(p)}(K) + vZ_v^{(p)}(K)}{W_v^{(p)'}(K) + vW_v^{(p)}(K)} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $p = u - \psi(v)$.

Below, we rewrite this expression for $u = 0$, i.e., for the exit position, to obtain an expression that is suitable for the analysis in Section 3. To simplify notation, we express the LST of the overshoot in terms of the scale function $W(\cdot)$. To do so, we use the following relationship between scale functions, see e.g. [29] and [6, Remark 4],

$$W^{(u)}(x) = e^{vx} W_v^{(u - \psi(v))}(x) \quad (3)$$

for v such that $\psi(v) < \infty$. Equation (1) may thus be equivalently expressed as

$$Z_v^{(p)}(x) = 1 + p \int_0^x e^{-vy} W^{(u)}(y) dy.$$

For $u = 0$, we then obtain

$$Z_v^{(-\psi(v))}(x) = 1 - \psi(v) \int_0^x e^{-vy} W(y) dy, \quad (4)$$

which, using Definition 2.1, may be reduced to $\psi(v) \int_x^\infty e^{-vy} W(y) dy$ in case $v > \Phi(0)$. Next, we further rewrite the fraction on the RHS of Equation (2). For the denominator, it easily follows by differentiating both sides of (3) with respect to x that, for $u = 0$,

$$W_v^{(-\psi(v))'}(x) + vW_v^{(-\psi(v))}(x) = e^{-vx} W'(x).$$

For the numerator, we apply partial integration in (4), yielding

$$-\psi(v)W_v^{(-\psi(v))}(x) + vZ_v^{(-\psi(v))}(x) = v - \psi(v) \int_0^x e^{-vy} dW(y).$$

Combining the above, we have derived the following result for the exit position from $[0, K]$ of the reflected process.

Corollary 2.1. For $v \geq 0$, with $\tilde{X}(0) = x_0$ and $Y(0) = z \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{z,x_0}[e^{-vY(\tau_K)}] = e^{-vz} \left(1 - \psi(v) \int_0^{K-z} e^{-vy} W(y) dy \right) - \frac{W(K-z)}{W'(K)} \left(v - \psi(v) \int_0^K e^{-vy} dW(y) \right),$$

which, for $v > \Phi(0)$, can be reduced to

$$\mathbb{E}_{z,x_0}[e^{-vY(\tau_K)}] = \psi(v) \left(e^{-vz} \int_{K-z}^{\infty} e^{-vy} W(y) dy - \frac{W(K-z)}{W'(K)} \int_K^{\infty} e^{-vy} dW(y) \right).$$

3 Analysis

Let X be a right-continuous Lévy process without negative jumps starting at the origin, with Lévy exponent $\phi(\alpha) = \log \mathbb{E} e^{-\alpha X(1)}$. We exclude the degenerate case that X is a negative drift. In this section, we also exclude the case that the process X is nondecreasing. For results in case X is a subordinator, we refer to Section 4.

Let $Z(t) = Z(0) + X(t) + L(t)$, $t \geq 0$, where $L(t) = -\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} [Z(0) + X(s)]^-$. Let $T_K = \inf\{t \geq 0 : Z(t) \geq K\}$, i.e., T_K denotes the epoch at which, for the first time, $Z(t)$ upcrosses level $K > 0$. We assume the following. At T_K a timer is activated. D time units later, the timer expires, and the Lévy exponent changes into $\phi_*(\alpha)$. As soon as the process hits zero again, the Lévy exponent reverses to the original $\phi(\alpha)$. If the process has reached the origin before the timer expired, then the Lévy exponent does not change, but remains $\phi(\alpha)$.

We shall analyze the steady-state distribution of the Z -process, by distinguishing three successive time intervals: (i) The interval from 0 to T_K ; (ii) the interval from T_K until the timer expires or the origin is reached – whichever comes first; (iii) the interval from the expiration of the timer until the origin is reached (if positive). We assume that $\phi'_*(0) > 0$ for the system to be stable, and let Z denote a random variable with the steady-state distribution of the Z -process. Using the theory of regenerative processes, and introducing τ as the length of time until the origin is reached for the first time after T_K ,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\int_0^{T_K} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds] + \mathbb{E}[\int_{T_K}^{T_K+(\tau \wedge D)} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds] + \mathbb{E}[\int_{T_K+(\tau \wedge D)}^{T_K+\tau} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds]}{\mathbb{E}T_K + \mathbb{E}\tau}. \quad (5)$$

The steady-state analysis of the Z -process in each of these intervals heavily relies on a martingale technique. We treat the three intervals successively in Subsections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3. In each subsection, we specify the results for the two special cases of (i) Brownian motion and (ii) the M/G/1 and M/M/1 queue.

3.1 The first interval: $[0, T_K]$

Applying [23] to $Z(\cdot)$ and simplifying, the following is seen to be a martingale:

$$M(t) = \phi(\alpha) \int_{s=0}^t e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds - e^{-\alpha Z(t)} + e^{-\alpha Z(0)} - \alpha L(t). \quad (6)$$

In the following, we take $Z(0) = 0$. Application of the optional sampling theorem, with stopping time T_K , to this martingale yields (cf. [5, 23]):

$$\phi(\alpha) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{s=0}^{T_K} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds \right] = \mathbb{E} e^{-\alpha Z(T_K)} - 1 + \alpha \mathbb{E} L(T_K), \quad (7)$$

or

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{s=0}^{T_K} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds\right] = \frac{\mathbb{E}e^{-\alpha Z(T_K)} - 1 + \alpha \mathbb{E}L(T_K)}{\phi(\alpha)}. \quad (8)$$

Notice that this expression, when divided by $\mathbb{E}T_K$, represents the LST of the steady-state distribution of the Z -process on the interval $[0, T_K]$.

The transform of the overshoot $\mathbb{E}e^{-\alpha Z(T_K)}$ can be directly obtained by taking $z = 0$ (since $x_s = 0$ and $x_0 = 0$) in the last formula of Corollary 2.1, providing, for $\alpha > \Phi(0)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(T_K)}] = \phi(\alpha) \left(\int_K^\infty e^{-\alpha y} W(y) dy - \frac{W(K)}{W'(K)} \int_K^\infty e^{-\alpha y} dW(y) \right). \quad (9)$$

Note that $\alpha > \Phi(0) \geq 0$ for the two integrals in (9) to be bounded. To determine the constant $\mathbb{E}Z(T_K)$ we differentiate the first formula of Corollary 2.1 and take $\alpha = 0$ to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}Z(T_K) = \phi'(0) \int_0^K W(y) dy + \frac{W(K)}{W'(K)} \left(1 - \phi'(0) \int_0^K dW(y) \right). \quad (10)$$

In the remainder we will also use the notation $Z_l := Z(T_K)$ to denote the value of the reflected process at the moment it leaves $[0, K]$.

Letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ in (8) and applying l'Hôpital's rule gives a relation between $\mathbb{E}T_K$ and $\mathbb{E}L(T_K)$:

$$\phi'(0)\mathbb{E}T_K = -\mathbb{E}Z(T_K) + \mathbb{E}L(T_K), \quad (11)$$

so

$$\mathbb{E}L(T_K) = \mathbb{E}Z(T_K) + \phi'(0)\mathbb{E}T_K. \quad (12)$$

Note that, for $\phi'(0) = 0$, we directly obtain $\mathbb{E}L(T_K) = \mathbb{E}Z(T_K)$. In that case, letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ in (8) and applying l'Hôpital's rule twice gives the constant $\mathbb{E}T_K = \mathbb{E}[Z(T_K)^2]/\phi''(0)$, where $\mathbb{E}[Z(T_K)^2]$ may be determined from the first formula of Corollary 2.1. For $\phi'(0) \neq 0$, a second relation between $\mathbb{E}L(T_K)$ and $\mathbb{E}T_K$ is obtained by defining $\hat{\alpha}$ as a non-zero solution of $\phi(\alpha) = 0$. (For instance, for $\phi'(0) < 0$, take $\hat{\alpha} := \Phi(0)$ as the unique positive zero of $\phi(\alpha) = 0$.) Since the expectation in the LHS of (7) is finite for $\alpha = \hat{\alpha}$, the RHS of (7) should be zero for this value of α :

$$\mathbb{E}L(T_K) = \frac{1 - \mathbb{E}e^{-\hat{\alpha}Z(T_K)}}{\hat{\alpha}}. \quad (13)$$

From (12) and (13), (with $\phi'(0) \neq 0$)

$$\mathbb{E}T_K = \frac{1}{\phi'(0)} \left(\frac{1 - \mathbb{E}e^{-\hat{\alpha}Z(T_K)}}{\hat{\alpha}} - \mathbb{E}Z(T_K) \right). \quad (14)$$

We now consider two special cases.

Case (i): Brownian motion

If X is Brownian motion, with drift parameter μ and variance parameter σ^2 , then $\phi(\alpha) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\alpha^2 - \mu\alpha$. Instead of X , we shall also write B to denote the case of Brownian motion. It may be verified that (9) indeed reduces to

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(T_K)}] = e^{-\alpha K},$$

or $Z(T_K) = K$. Formula (12) thus becomes

$$\mathbb{E}L(T_K) = K - \mu\mathbb{E}T_K. \quad (15)$$

In case $\mu = 0$, it directly follows that $\mathbb{E}L(T_K) = K$ and $\mathbb{E}T_K = (K/\sigma^2)^2$. For $\mu \neq 0$, there is one non-zero α for which $\phi(\alpha) = 0$, viz., $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2}$, and

$$0 = e^{-\frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2}K} - 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2}(K - \mu\mathbb{E}T_K),$$

so

$$\mathbb{E}T_K = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\mu^2} \left(e^{-\frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2}K} - 1 + \frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2}K \right). \quad (16)$$

It now follows from (8) that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{s=0}^{T_K} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds \right] = \frac{e^{-\alpha K} - 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\hat{\alpha}}(e^{-\hat{\alpha}K} - 1)}{\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\alpha^2 - \mu\alpha}. \quad (17)$$

Case (ii): M/G/1 and M/M/1

Let X correspond to the M/G/1 queue with arrival rate λ , service speed r , and general service requirements with distribution function $B(\cdot)$, mean β , and LST $\beta(\cdot)$. Then $\phi(\alpha) = r\alpha - \lambda(1 - \beta(\alpha))$. As in Case (i), we can determine the scale function $W(\cdot)$ explicitly. Define $\rho := \lambda\beta/r$ and

$$H(x) := \beta^{-1} \int_0^x (1 - B(y)) dy$$

as the distribution of the residual service requirement. For $\rho < 1$, it is well-known from Definition 2.1 with $q = 0$, see e.g. [5, Theorem VIII.5.7], that

$$W(x) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho^n H_n(x), \quad (18)$$

where $H_n(\cdot)$ denotes the n -fold convolution of $H(\cdot)$ with itself. In fact, $(1 - \rho)rW(\cdot)$ corresponds to the workload distribution in the M/G/1 queue with service rate r (the $1/r$ in (18) is in fact a correction term).

Remark 3.1. In case $\rho \geq 1$, the scale function can be obtained by replacing $\rho H(x)$ in (18) by $L(x) := \int_0^x e^{-\delta u} d\rho H(u)$, with δ the unique positive zero of $\int_0^\infty e^{-xu} d\rho H(u) - 1$. We refer to [14] for further details. In that case $W(\cdot)/W(K)$ can be identified with the workload distribution of the finite M/G/1 dam with buffer size K . \diamond

The results can be further simplified in the M/M/1 case. Assume that the server works at unit speed as long as there is any work present and let the service requirements be exponentially distributed with mean $1/\mu := \beta/r$.¹ Then $\phi(\alpha) = \alpha - \lambda \frac{\alpha}{\mu + \alpha}$. Simplifying (9) or applying the memoryless property of the exponential service time distribution yields $\mathbb{E}e^{-\alpha Z(T_K)} = e^{-\alpha K} \frac{\mu}{\mu + \alpha}$. For $\rho \neq 1$, we obtain after straightforward calculations that

$$\hat{\alpha} = \lambda - \mu,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}T_K = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda/\mu} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda} e^{-(\lambda - \mu)K}}{\lambda - \mu} - K - \frac{1}{\mu} \right).$$

¹We note that μ has a different meaning in the M/M/1 case than in the case of Brownian motion.

3.2 The second interval

We put the time origin at T_K , i.e., the timer starts at time 0, where $Z(0)$ is distributed as the overshoot over level K , that is Z_l . Application of the martingale $M(t)$, now with stopping time $D \wedge \tau$, yields as in (7),

$$\phi(\alpha)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{s=0}^{D \wedge \tau} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds\right] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}] - \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(0)}], \quad (19)$$

where $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(0)}]$ is given by (9). Notice that, this time, there is no reflection term, since the horizontal axis is not hit during $[0, D \wedge \tau)$. For the same reason, one can write $Z(s) = Z(0) + X(s)$, where $X(\cdot)$ is the free Lévy process.

Let us for the moment assume that the timer, that starts at T_K , runs for a fixed time t ; later we consider the case of a random timer. Consider the unknown term in the RHS of (19), using (\cdot) to denote an indicator function:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\alpha Z(t \wedge \tau)}] &= \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\alpha Z(\tau)}(\tau < t)] + \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\alpha Z(t)}(\tau \geq t)] \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < t) + \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\alpha(Z(0)+X(t))}(\tau \geq t)] \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < t) + \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha(Z(0)+X(t))}] - \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\alpha(Z(0)+X(t))}(\tau < t)], \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where we used the fact that $Z(\cdot)$ is a free Lévy process for $\tau \geq t$. We shall successively study these three terms. For the first term we note that, for fixed x , the transform of $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau < t)$ is given by Proposition 2.1. Specifically, for $x \geq 0$ and $s \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x[e^{-s\tau}] = e^{-\Phi(s)x}. \quad (21)$$

Conditioning on $Z(0)$ and invoking the second formula of Corollary 2.1 with $z = 0$ in the second step, we may write for the transform of τ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-s\tau}] &= \int_K^\infty e^{-\Phi(s)x} d\mathbb{P}(Z(0) < x) \\ &= s \left(\int_K^\infty e^{-\Phi(s)y} W(y) dy - \frac{W(K)}{W'(K)} \int_K^\infty e^{-\Phi(s)y} dW(y) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where we used that $\Phi(s) > \Phi(0)$ and $\phi(\Phi(s)) = s$ for $s > 0$, see for instance [10], p. 189. This completes the analysis of the transform of τ . To obtain its distribution, define the first passage time into $(-\infty, -x]$ by $T(x) := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) \leq -x\}$. We note that the distribution of $T(x)$ has a possible atom. For instance, in the standard M/G/1 queue with service speed r , $T(x)$ has an atom at $t = x/r$ and a density for $t > x/r$. Dividing both sides of (22) by s and applying (21), we obtain by Laplace inversion that, for $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < t) = \left(\int_K^\infty W(y) d\mathbb{P}(T(y) < t) - \frac{W(K)}{W'(K)} \int_K^\infty W'(y) d\mathbb{P}(T(y) < t) \right). \quad (23)$$

Explicit expressions for the distribution of $T(x)$ and thus for $\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < t)$ are only available in some special cases, see for instance [31, Chapter 2] and [32, Chapter 4].

We now turn to the second and third term of (20). Using the Lévy exponent, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha(Z(0)+X(t))}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(0)}] e^{\phi(\alpha)t}.$$

For the third term we have $\tau < t$ with t the timer duration. Hence, if $\tau = u \leq t$, then the Lévy process starts at 0 at time u , i.e., $Z(0) + X(u) = 0$. Conditioning on τ , we thus obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\alpha(Z(0)+X(t))}(\tau < t)] = \int_0^t e^{\phi(\alpha)(t-u)} d\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < u).$$

Combining the above yields

$$\mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\alpha Z(t \wedge \tau)}] = \mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < t) + \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(0)}]e^{\phi(\alpha)t} - \int_0^t e^{\phi(\alpha)(t-u)} d\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < u). \quad (24)$$

Integrating over t then directly provides

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}] &= \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < t) + \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(0)}]e^{\phi(\alpha)t} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t e^{\phi(\alpha)(t-u)} d\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < u) \right) d\mathbb{P}(D \leq t). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

It follows from (19) and (24) that, for fixed $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{s=0}^{t \wedge \tau} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds\right] = \frac{\int_{u=0}^t (1 - e^{\phi(\alpha)(t-u)}) d\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < u) - (1 - e^{\phi(\alpha)t})\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(0)}]}{\phi(\alpha)}. \quad (26)$$

The result for a generally distributed timer is obtained by integrating over t :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{s=0}^{D \wedge \tau} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds\right] &= \frac{1}{\phi(\alpha)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{u=0}^t (1 - e^{\phi(\alpha)(t-u)}) d\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < u) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1 - e^{\phi(\alpha)t})\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(0)}] \right) d\mathbb{P}(D \leq t). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The result is especially tractable in case the timer D has an exponential distribution function (or mixture of exponentials). This special case is further addressed in Section 5. Moreover, in Section 5 we outline another method to derive Equation (26) by considering an exponential timer first and using some properties of the exponential distribution (Remark 5.1).

For the steady-state distribution of the Z -process, we need the constant $\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau]$. Letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ in (27) and applying l'Hôpital's rule we also have, for $\phi'(0) \neq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau] = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(t\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau \geq t) + \int_{u=0}^t u d\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < u) \right) d\mathbb{P}(D \leq t). \quad (28)$$

(In case $\phi'(0) = 0$, we have to apply l'Hôpital's rule twice.) It also easily follows from (19) that

$$\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z(0)] - \mathbb{E}[Z(D \wedge \tau)]}{\phi'(0)} = -\frac{\mathbb{E}[X(D \wedge \tau)]}{\phi'(0)}. \quad (29)$$

Hence, for $\phi'(0) \neq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(D \wedge \tau)] = \mathbb{E}[Z(0)] - \phi'(0) \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(t\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau \geq t) + \int_{u=0}^t u d\mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < u) \right) d\mathbb{P}(D \leq t), \quad (30)$$

with $\mathbb{E}[Z(0)]$ given in (10).

Case (i): Brownian motion

For Brownian motion it is possible to give explicit expressions for, e.g., the first-exit time and thus, by (27), also for the steady-state workload distribution in the second interval. In particular,

$$\Phi(s) = \frac{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 + 2\sigma^2 s}}{\sigma^2},$$

giving the LST of τ , see (21). Moreover, denoting by $N(\cdot)$ the Normal distribution, we may obtain from the LST of τ [32], p. 113, or from [21], p. 14, that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau < t) = N\left(\frac{-K - \mu t}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right) + e^{-2K\mu/\sigma^2} N\left(\frac{-K + \mu t}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right). \quad (31)$$

After some calculations similar to [32], p. 112, (24) can be seen to reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(t \wedge \tau)}] &= \mathbb{P}(\tau < t) + e^{-\alpha K} e^{t\phi(\alpha)} \left[N\left(\frac{K - (\alpha\sigma^2 t - \mu t)}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{2K(\alpha - \mu/\sigma^2)} N\left(\frac{-K - (\alpha\sigma^2 t - \mu t)}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Hence, in this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{s=0}^{D \wedge \tau} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds\right] &= \frac{1}{\phi(\alpha)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{P}(\tau < t) + e^{-\alpha K} e^{t\phi(\alpha)} \left[N\left(\frac{K - (\alpha\sigma^2 t - \mu t)}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - e^{2K(\alpha - \mu/\sigma^2)} N\left(\frac{-K - (\alpha\sigma^2 t - \mu t)}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right) \right] - e^{-\alpha K} \right) d\mathbb{P}(D \leq t). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Remark 3.2. For Brownian motion, Equation (32) can also be obtained more directly. Define the Brownian motion process $\tilde{B}(t) = -B(t)$, $t \geq 0$ (having drift parameter $-\mu$ and variance parameter σ^2), and its running supremum $\tilde{M}(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \{\tilde{B}(s)\}$. Then, clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha B(t)}(\tau \geq t)] &= \int_{-K}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha x} \mathbb{P}(B(t) \in dx, \tau \geq t) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^K e^{\alpha x} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{B}(t) \in dx, \tilde{M}(t) \leq K). \end{aligned}$$

Using [21, Proposition 1.8.1] for the joint distribution, we obtain, after some standard calculations, that $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(t \wedge \tau)}]$ satisfies (32). \diamond

As mentioned, we need to determine the constant $\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau]$ for the steady-state distribution of the Z -process. Letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ in (33) and applying l'Hôpital's rule (determining the constant using (28) is more involved in this case) yields, for $\mu \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau] &= \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(t\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq t) - \frac{K}{\mu} N\left(\frac{-K - \mu t}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{K}{\mu} e^{-2K\mu/\sigma^2} N\left(\frac{-K + \mu t}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right) \right) d\mathbb{P}(D \leq t). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Because the results for Brownian motion are very explicit, we also give the constant in case $\phi'(0) = \mu = 0$. Again letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ in (33) and applying l'Hôpital's rule twice, we obtain after lengthy calculations that, for $\mu = 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau] = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(t\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq t) - \frac{K^2}{\sigma^2}\mathbb{P}(\tau < t) + \frac{2K}{\sigma} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-K^2/(2\sigma^2 t)} \right) d\mathbb{P}(D \leq t).$$

Case (ii): M/G/1 and M/M/1

If X corresponds to the M/G/1 queue as described before, then it follows directly that $\Phi(s)$, $s \geq 0$, is the unique non-negative solution to $rt = s + \lambda(1 - \beta(t))$. By (21), this directly describes $\mathbb{E}[e^{-s\tau}]$. In addition, the distribution of $T(x)$ in case $r = 1$ can be found in, e.g., [15], Formula (II.4.95) and [31], Formula (2.15). After some modification to adjust for the service speed r , the formula reads, for $t \geq x/r$,

$$\mathbb{P}(T(x) < t) = \int_{u=\frac{x}{r}}^t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda u} \frac{(\lambda u)^n}{n!} \frac{x}{ru} dB_n(ur - x),$$

where, for $n \geq 1$, $B_n(\cdot)$ is the n -fold convolution of $B(\cdot)$ with itself, and $B_0(x) = 0$ if $x < 0$ and $B_0(x) = 1$ if $x \geq 0$. Using (23) and (27), this determines the density of τ and the distribution of the workload process in the second interval, respectively.

In the M/M/1 queue, with $\mu = r/\beta$, $\Phi(\cdot)$ is explicitly given by

$$\Phi(s) = \frac{s + \lambda - \mu + \sqrt{(\mu - \lambda - s)^2 + 4\mu s}}{2}. \quad (35)$$

In that case, $\mathbb{P}(T(x) = x) = e^{-\lambda x}$, and denote by $g(x, \cdot)$ the density of $T(x)$. Then, for $x < t < \infty$,

$$g(x, t) = e^{-\lambda t - \mu(t-x)} \frac{x}{t} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda \mu t}{t-x}} I_1(2\sqrt{\lambda \mu t(t-x)}),$$

where $I_n(\cdot)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order n , i.e.,

$$I_n(x) = \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2k}}{k!(n+k)!},$$

see for instance [31, Section 2.8b] and [32, Theorem 4.8].

3.3 The third interval

In this subsection we analyze the third interval, i.e., the interval $[D \wedge \tau, \tau]$. Since we use results of the second interval in this subsection, we put the time origin at the end of the first interval as in Subsection 3.2. This allows for the most coherent presentation. We note that, because the timer has expired, the Lévy exponent of the process is changed into $\phi_*(\alpha)$. Another application of the martingale $M(t)$, now with stopping time τ , yields

$$\phi_*(\alpha) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{s=D \wedge \tau}^{\tau} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds \right] = 1 - \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}]. \quad (36)$$

As in the second interval, notice that there is no reflection term in the time interval $[D \wedge \tau, \tau]$. Recall that Z_l denotes the value of the reflected process at the moment it leaves

$[0, K)$ at the end of the first interval (Subsection 3.1). Also, $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}]$ is given in (25). Combining the above yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{s=D \wedge \tau}^{\tau} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds\right] = \frac{1}{\phi_*(\alpha)} \left[1 - \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{P}_{Z_l}(\tau < t) + \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}] e^{\phi(\alpha)t} - \int_0^t e^{\phi(\alpha)(t-u)} d\mathbb{P}_{Z_l}(\tau < u) \right) d\mathbb{P}(D \leq t) \right], \quad (37)$$

where Z_l is the overshoot resulting from the first interval.

Observe that τ is equal to time $D \wedge \tau$ in case the process has reached the origin at the end of the second interval before the timer D has expired. Hence, $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{s=D \wedge \tau}^{\tau} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds\right] = 0$ with probability $\mathbb{P}_{Z_l}(\tau < D)$. Finally, letting $\tilde{\tau} := \tau - (D \wedge \tau)$ denote the length of the third interval, we derive from (36) that

$$\mathbb{E}\tilde{\tau} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z(D \wedge \tau)]}{\phi'_*(0)},$$

with $\mathbb{E}[Z(D \wedge \tau)]$ presented in (30). Hence, observing that (for $\phi'(0) \neq 0$)

$$\mathbb{E}\tau = \mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau] + \mathbb{E}\tilde{\tau} = \left(1 - \frac{\phi'(0)}{\phi'_*(0)} \right) \mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau] + \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z_l]}{\phi'_*(0)}, \quad (38)$$

with $\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau]$ given in (28) and $\mathbb{E}[Z_l]$ given in (10), we have determined all terms on the RHS of (5).

Finally, the steady-state analysis of the Z -process may be summarized by combining the three intervals of Subsections 3.1–3.3. In particular, applying (8), (19), (36), (11), and (38) to (5), we deduce the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *For $\phi'(0) \neq 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z}] = \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\phi(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}L(T_K) + \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\phi_*(\alpha)} \right) (\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}] - 1)}{\frac{1}{\phi'(0)} \mathbb{E}L(T_K) + \left(\frac{1}{\phi'(0)} - \frac{1}{\phi'_*(0)} \right) (\phi'(0) \mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau] - \mathbb{E}Z_l)}, \quad (39)$$

with $\mathbb{E}L(T_K)$, $\mathbb{E}Z_l$, $\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau]$, and $\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}]$ given by (13), (10), (28), and (25), respectively.

Remark 3.3. In case $\phi(\cdot) \equiv \phi_*(\cdot)$, (39) reduces to

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z}] = \phi'(0) \frac{\alpha}{\phi(\alpha)},$$

which corresponds to the LST of the steady-state version of a reflected Lévy process, see e.g. [5, Corollary IX.3.4] or [12, 23]. \diamond

Cases (i) and (ii): Brownian motion, M/G/1, and M/M/1

The results can be directly derived using the terms determined in the second interval. In particular, for Brownian motion $\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}]$ can be obtained from (32), with $\mathbb{P}(\tau < t)$ given in (31). The constant $\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau]$ can be found in (34) and $\mathbb{E}[Z_l] = K$. If X corresponds to the M/G/1 or M/M/1 queue, then the general equations for $\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}]$ and $\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau]$, i.e. (25) and (28), can be further specified using the Lévy exponents $\phi(\alpha) = \alpha r - \lambda(1 - \beta(\alpha))$ and $\phi(\alpha) = \alpha - \lambda \frac{\alpha}{\mu + \alpha}$, respectively, and results on first-exit times given at the end of Section 3.2.

4 The case of a subordinator

In this section, we consider the case in which the process X is *nondecreasing* (a *subordinator*) during the first and second interval (because of stability, this is not possible during the third interval). This case is of special interest in view of queueing models with a removable server, see e.g. [4, 19, 24, 26, 38]. In these papers it is assumed that the server starts a new busy period only when the total amount of work has reached the level D (D -policy; notice that this is a different D than our timer D). The analysis in case of a monotone process during the first two intervals is in fact very similar to the analysis in Section 3, that is, we may consider each of the three successive intervals separately. Since the origin is not hit during the first two intervals, this case leads to more convenient expressions.

Following [24], the Lévy exponent of the subordinator X will be defined by $-\eta(\alpha) = \log \mathbb{E}e^{-\alpha X(1)} = \phi(\alpha)$ (note the difference in the minus sign between $\eta(\alpha)$ and $\phi(\alpha)$). We first consider the exit position of the process when it leaves $[0, K)$. Denote the exit time from $[0, K)$ of X by T_K . The exit position is then given by, see e.g. [1, Subsection 3.3],

$$\mathbb{E}_0[e^{-\alpha Z(T_K)}] = \mathbb{E}_0[e^{-\alpha X(T_K)}] = \eta(\alpha) \int_K^\infty e^{-\alpha z} dU(z), \quad (40)$$

where the potential measure U is defined via

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha z} dU(z) = \frac{1}{\eta(\alpha)}.$$

We note that the potential measure U and 0-scale function $W(\cdot)$ are closely related, see e.g. [1, 6, 10, 11] for details.

Remark 4.1. Of particular interest for queueing systems with a removable server is the case that X is a compound Poisson process with rate λ and jumps having distribution function $B(\cdot)$ and LST $\beta(\cdot)$. In that case, $\eta(\alpha) = \lambda(1 - \beta(\alpha))$ and $U(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n(z)/\lambda$, where $B_n(\cdot)$ is the n -fold convolution of $B(\cdot)$ with itself. The distribution of $X(T_K)$ can also be obtained from renewal theory [5, Chapter V]. \diamond

Next, we briefly outline the analysis of the Z -process. As in Section 3, we apply the martingale (6) with stopping times T_K and $T_K + D \wedge \tau$, for the first and second interval, respectively. Observe that, in case of a subordinator, there is no reflection yielding $L(t) \equiv 0$ in (6). Also, the LST of $Z(T_K)$ is given in Equation (40). As in Section 3, we denote the value of the Z -process when it leaves $[0, K)$ by $Z_l = Z(T_K)$. This completes the analysis of the first interval, up to a constant.

For the second interval, we note that this interval always terminates due to the expiration of the timer, because the process is nondecreasing there. In particular, for a timer with fixed duration t , it follows directly from the definition of the Lévy exponent that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(T_K + t \wedge \tau)}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha(Z(T_K) + X(t))}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}] e^{-\eta(\alpha)t},$$

with $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}]$ given by (40). By integrating over t , we obtain the result for a general timer:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(T_K + D \wedge \tau)}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}] \int_{t=0}^\infty e^{-\eta(\alpha)t} d\mathbb{P}(D \leq t). \quad (41)$$

Finally, the third interval can easily be analyzed using another application of the martingale, cf. (36), and the LST of $Z(T_K + D)$, cf. (41). The three intervals can now be easily combined. Specifically, combining (5), (7), (19), and (36) with the above yields

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z}] = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}T_K + \mathbb{E}\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\eta(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{\phi_*(\alpha)} \right) \left(1 - \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}] \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\eta(\alpha)t} d\mathbb{P}(D \leq t) \right),$$

where $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}]$ is given by (40). The constant $\mathbb{E}T_K + \mathbb{E}\tau$ can be determined by letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ and applying l'Hôpital's rule, yielding

$$\mathbb{E}T_K + \mathbb{E}\tau = \left(\frac{1}{\eta'(0)} + \frac{1}{\phi'_*(0)} \right) (\mathbb{E}Z_l + \eta'(0)\mathbb{E}D).$$

To obtain the constant $\mathbb{E}Z_l$, we may use the definition of U to rewrite (40) as $\mathbb{E}_0[e^{-\alpha Z_l}] = 1 - \eta(\alpha) \int_0^K e^{-\alpha z} dU(z)$. Differentiating with respect to α and letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ then gives $\mathbb{E}Z_l = \eta'(0) \int_0^K dU(z)$.

5 Exponential timer

In Section 3, we determined the LST of the workload in the model where the duration of the timer has a general distribution function (and the process is not monotone during the first two intervals). In the special case (i) of Brownian motion, tractable expressions for the steady-state workload appear. However, for the M/G/1 queue and even for the M/M/1 queue, the results become cumbersome, involving the complicated transient behavior of those queues. To obtain more tractable analytical results, we consider the case of an exponential timer in this section. The results can also readily be extended to cases where the duration of the timer consists of a mixture of exponential terms, as for Coxian (with different intensity parameters) and Hyperexponential distributions (see the end of this section).

Thus, in this section we first assume that D is exponentially distributed with intensity ξ , i.e., $\mathbb{P}(D < x) = 1 - e^{-\xi x}$. The analysis in the first interval does not depend on the distribution of the timer. For convenience we put the time origin at the end of this first interval and let Z_l again denote the overshoot over K . For the second interval, the integrals on the RHS of (25) and (27) can now easily be determined. After interchanging the integrals on the RHS of (25) and (27) and some straightforward calculations, it follows that, for $\alpha \geq 0$ such that $\phi(\alpha) < \xi$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}] \frac{\xi}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)} - \mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi \tau}] \frac{\phi(\alpha)}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)}, \quad (42)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{s=0}^{D \wedge \tau} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds\right] = \frac{-\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi \tau}]}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)} + \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}]}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)}, \quad (43)$$

with $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}]$ given by (9) and the constant $\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi \tau}]$ given by (22). By analytic continuation, the results can be extended to all values of $\alpha \geq 0$. Note that this also holds for the α for which $\phi(\alpha) = \xi$, after an application of l'Hôpital's rule to (43). We note that, using (42), it is easy to analyze the third interval.

Finally, the constant $\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau]$ can be either obtained from Equation (28), or by taking $\alpha = 0$ in (43), yielding

$$\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau] = \frac{1}{\xi} \left(1 - \mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi\tau}] \right). \quad (44)$$

Now, combining the above with (39), the LST of the steady-state workload in case of an exponential timer is summarized in the following corollary:

Corollary 5.1. *Assume that $\mathbb{P}(D < x) = 1 - e^{-\xi x}$. Then, for $\phi'(0) \neq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z}] = \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\phi(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}L(T_K) + \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\phi_*(\alpha)} \right) \left(\frac{\xi}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}] - \frac{\phi(\alpha)}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi\tau}] - 1 \right)}{\frac{1}{\phi'(0)} \mathbb{E}L(T_K) + \left(\frac{1}{\phi'(0)} - \frac{1}{\phi'_*(0)} \right) \left(\frac{\phi'(0)}{\xi} - \frac{\phi'(0)}{\xi} \mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi\tau}] - \mathbb{E}Z_l \right)},$$

with $\mathbb{E}L(T_K)$, $\mathbb{E}Z_l$, $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}]$, and $\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi\tau}]$ given by (13), (10), (9), and (22), respectively.

Remark 5.1. Another way to analyze the LST of the workload in the second interval, that is Equation (26) of Section 3, is to consider the case of an exponential timer first and exploit the lack-of-memory property of an exponential timer. More specifically, let D denote a generic exponential random variable with mean $1/\xi$. Using (20), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}] &= \mathbb{P}_{Z(0)}(\tau < D) + \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha(Z(0)+X(D))}] - \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\alpha(Z(0)+X(D))}(\tau < D)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\xi\tau}] + \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(0)}] \frac{\xi}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)} - \mathbb{E}_{Z(0)}[e^{-\xi\tau}] \frac{\xi}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term in the second step follows from the fact that at time τ , that is the first epoch at which $Z(0) + X(\tau) = 0$, the time until the timer expires is still exponentially distributed. Using the above it is easily seen that $\mathbb{E}[\int_{s=0}^{D \wedge \tau} e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds]$ is given by (43). Finally note that integrating over an exponential timer is equivalent to multiplying by ξ and taking LST. It may be checked that dividing by ξ and inverting (43) with respect to ξ provides Equation (26). \diamond

Case (i): Brownian motion

For Brownian motion, a generally distributed timer already yields explicit results, see Section 3. For an exponential timer, the result can be further simplified to the transform given in Corollary 5.1, with $\mathbb{E}e^{-\alpha Z_l} = e^{-\alpha K}$, $\mathbb{E}Z_l = K$, and $\phi(\alpha)$ and $\mathbb{E}L(T_K)$ given in Section 3.1. Finally, see Section 3.2,

$$\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi\tau}] = e^{-\frac{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 + 2\sigma^2\xi}}{\sigma^2} K}.$$

Case (ii): M/G/1 and M/M/1

In the M/G/1 case the scale function $W(\cdot)$ has an explicit form, related to the steady-state workload distribution in the M/G/1 queue, see Section 3.1. As noted there, in the M/M/1 queue we have the simple form $\mathbb{E}e^{-\alpha Z_l} = e^{-\alpha K} \frac{\mu}{\mu + \alpha}$ and $\mathbb{E}Z_l = K + 1/\mu$. Also, $\mathbb{E}L(T_K)$ can be obtained from the results in Section 3.1. Finally, the constant $\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi\tau}]$ in the M/M/1 case is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi\tau}] = e^{-\Phi(\xi)K} \frac{\mu}{\mu + \Phi(\xi)},$$

with $\Phi(\xi)$ presented in (35). We note that

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu + \Phi(\xi)} = \frac{\xi + \lambda + \mu + \sqrt{(\mu + \lambda + \xi)^2 - 4\lambda\mu}}{2\lambda},$$

corresponding to the LST of the M/M/1 busy period (having parameter ξ).

The results for an exponential timer can also be extended to cases where the distribution of the timer duration consists of a mixture of exponential terms. This extension is rather straightforward in cases where all exponentials have a different intensity parameter, as for e.g. Coxian (with different parameters) and Hyperexponential distributions. In particular, assume that $\mathbb{P}(D < x) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k p_i e^{-\xi_i x}$, with $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$ (where some p_i 's are allowed to be negative). In that case, Equation (25) reduces to

$$\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}] = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \left(\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}] \frac{\xi_i}{\xi_i - \phi(\alpha)} - \mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi_i \tau}] \frac{\phi(\alpha)}{\xi_i - \phi(\alpha)} \right).$$

After similar calculations as in the case of an exponential timer, cf. Corollary 5.1, we deduce the following corollary:

Corollary 5.2. *Assume that $\mathbb{P}(D < x) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k p_i e^{-\xi_i x}$, with $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$. Then, for $\phi'(0) \neq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z}] = \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\phi(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}L(T_K) + \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\phi_*(\alpha)} \right) \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \left(\frac{\xi_i}{\xi_i - \phi(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}] - \frac{\phi(\alpha)}{\xi_i - \phi(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi_i \tau}] - 1 \right)}{\frac{1}{\phi'(0)} \mathbb{E}L(T_K) + \left(\frac{1}{\phi'(0)} - \frac{1}{\phi'_*(0)} \right) \left(\phi'(0) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i}{\xi_i} (1 - \mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi_i \tau}]) - \mathbb{E}Z_l \right)},$$

with $\mathbb{E}L(T_K)$, $\mathbb{E}Z_l$, $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}]$, and $\mathbb{E}_{Z_l}[e^{-\xi \tau}]$ given by (13), (10), (9), and (22), respectively.

Finally, the expressions become more involved in case the distribution of the timer involves the sum of two exponentials with the same intensity parameter. As an example, assume that $d\mathbb{P}(D < x)/dx = \xi^2 x e^{-\xi x}$, i.e., the duration of the timer has an Erlang-2 distribution function. Instead of direct substitution into (25) and (28), we may use the results for the case of an exponential timer. More specifically, interchanging integral and differentiation, we have the relation $\int_0^\infty t e^{-\xi t} f(t) dt = -d/d\xi (\int_0^\infty e^{-\xi t} f(t) dt)$. Thus, in case of an Erlang-2 timer, dividing (42) by ξ , taking derivatives with respect to ξ , and finally multiplying by ξ^2 yields

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z(D \wedge \tau)}] = \frac{1}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\xi^2}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}[e^{-\alpha Z_l}] - \xi \phi(\alpha) \mathbb{E}[\tau e^{-\xi \tau}] - \phi(\alpha) \frac{2\xi - \phi(\alpha)}{\xi - \phi(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}[e^{-\xi \tau}] \right).$$

Similarly, from (44), we obtain the constant

$$\mathbb{E}[D \wedge \tau] = \frac{2}{\xi} \left(1 - \mathbb{E}[e^{-\xi \tau}] \right) - \mathbb{E}[\tau e^{-\xi \tau}].$$

The LST of the steady-state workload in case of an Erlang-2 timer follows directly by substituting the above in (39).

6 Future research

We end this paper by mentioning a few related problems that may be worth investigating.

(i) We are assuming that the process reverses to its original Lévy exponent as soon as the origin is reached. Instead, one might assume that this reversal takes place when the process downcrosses a level $K^* < K$, or that this reversal only occurs after some delay. We may distinguish three cases:

(a) There is no delay for switching the Lévy exponent both at upcrossing K and at downcrossing K^* . This corresponds to hysteretic control.

(b) There is delay at upcrossing K , but not at downcrossing K^* . In case a possible active delay signal becomes obsolete at downcrossing K^* , this case may be handled along the same lines as followed in the present paper, considering three intervals; the overshoot over K is still given by Corollary 2.1. In the other case, there can be multiple outstanding delay signals, see also (c).

(c) There is delay both at upcrossing K and at downcrossing K^* . This might be a very complex problem, due to the possibility of having multiple delay signals.

(ii) In a queueing context, one might focus on queue length instead of workload. In that setting, the timer would be activated when the number of customers first reaches a level K . For the analysis of this problem, the results (and martingale methods) of Roughan and Pearce [33, 34, 35] are relevant. In [33], the author considers an M/G/1 queue which initially has service time distribution $A(\cdot)$, which changes into distribution $B(\cdot)$ if the number of customers in the system exceeds a certain level after a service completion. The system switches back to the old service time distribution when it becomes empty. [35] extends this to the case of N phases, with service time distribution $A^j(\cdot)$ in phase j . Phase changes occur at ends of services and are stopping times. In [34] an M/G/1 queue with two arrival rates and hysteretic overload control is considered. If the number of customers after a service completion exceeds K_0 , the arrival rate changes from the original λ_u to λ_c . It changes back to λ_u when the number of customers after a service completion falls back below a level K_a .

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to Ton Dieker for bringing reference [29] to their attention. The research of the first two authors was done within the framework of the European Network of Excellence Euro-NGI. The research of the second author was also done within the framework of the BRICKS project. Part of the work of the third author was done when he was visiting EURANDOM as Stieltjes chair.

References

- [1] Alili, L., A.E. Kyprianou (2005). Some remarks on first passage of Lévy processes, the American put and pasting principles. *The Annals of Applied Probability* **15**, 2062–2080.
- [2] Altman, E., T. Basar, R. Srikant (1997). Multi-user rate-based flow control with action delays: a team-theoretic approach. In: *Proc. 36th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, San Diego (CA)*.
- [3] Altman, E., D. Kofman, U. Yechiali (1995). Discrete time queues with delayed information. *Queueing Systems* **19**, 361–376.
- [4] Artalejo, J.R. (2001). On the M/G/1 queue with D -policy. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* **25**, 1055–1069.

- [5] Asmussen, S. (2003). *Applied Probability and Queues*, Second Edition. Springer, New York.
- [6] Avram, F., A.E. Kyprianou, M.R. Pistorius (2004). Exit problems for spectrally negative Lévy processes and applications to (Canadized) Russian options. *The Annals of Applied Probability* **14**, 215–238.
- [7] Bekker, R. (2005). Queues with state-dependent rates. Ph.D. Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands.
- [8] Bekker, R., S.C. Borst, O.J. Boxma, O. Kella (2004). Queues with workload-dependent arrival and service rates. *Queueing Systems* **46**, 537–556.
- [9] Bekker, R., O.J. Boxma, J.A.C. Resing (2007). Lévy processes with adaptable exponent. Preprint.
- [10] Bertoin, J. (1996). *Lévy Processes*, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [11] Bertoin, J. (1997). Exponential decay and ergodicity of completely asymmetric Lévy processes in a finite interval. *The Annals of Applied Probability* **7**, 156–169.
- [12] Bingham, N.H. (1975). Fluctuation theory in continuous time. *Advances in Applied Probability* **7**, 705–766.
- [13] Brockwell, P.J., S.I. Resnick, R.L. Tweedie (1982). Storage processes with general release rule and additive inputs. *Advances in Applied Probability* **14**, 392–433.
- [14] Cohen, J.W. (1976). On the optimal switching level for an M/G/1 queueing system. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications* **4**, 297–316.
- [15] Cohen, J.W. (1982). *The Single Server Queue*. North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [16] Denteneer, D., J.S.H. van Leeuwen (2005). The delayed bulk service queue: a model for a reservation process. In: *Proc. 19th ITC*. North-Holland Publ. Cy., Amsterdam.
- [17] Denteneer, D., J.S.H. van Leeuwen, I.J.B.F. Adan (2006). The acquisition queue. EURANDOM Report.
- [18] Dshalalow, J.H. (1997). Queueing systems with state dependent parameters. In: *Frontiers in Queueing: Models and Applications in Science and Engineering*, 61–116.
- [19] Feinberg, E.A., O. Kella (2002). Optimality of D -policies for an M/G/1 queue with a removable server. *Queueing Systems* **42**, 355–376.
- [20] Gaver, D.P., R.G. Miller (1962). Limiting distributions for some storage problems. In: *Studies in Applied Probability and Management Science*, 110–126.
- [21] Harrison, J.M. (1985). *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Flow Systems*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York.
- [22] Jacobson, V. (1988). Congestion avoidance and control. In: *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM 1988*.
- [23] Kella, O., W. Whitt (1992). Useful martingales for stochastic storage processes with Lévy input. *Journal of Applied Probability* **29**, 396–403.
- [24] Kella, O. (1998). An exhaustive Lévy storage process with intermittent output. *Stochastic Models* **14**, 979–992.
- [25] Kyprianou, A.E., Z. Palmowski (2005). A martingale review of some fluctuation theory for spectrally negative Lévy processes. In: *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII* **1857**, 16–29.
- [26] Lee, E.Y., S.K. Ahn (1998). P_λ^M -policy for a dam with input formed by a compound Poisson process. *Journal of Applied Probability* **35**, 482–488.
- [27] Lee, J., J. Kim (2006). Workload analysis of an M/G/1 queue under the P_λ^M policy with a set-up time. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, article in press.
- [28] Malhotra, R., R. van Haalen, M.R.H. Mandjes, R. Núñez-Queija (2005). Modeling the interaction of IEEE 802.3x hop-by-hop flow control and TCP end-to-end flow control. In: *Proc. NGI 2005 Conference on Next Generation Internet Networks Traffic Engineering, Rome*.

- [29] Nguyen-Ngoc, L., M. Yor (2005). Some martingales associated to reflected Lévy processes. In: *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII* **1857**, 42–69.
- [30] Padhye, J., V. Firoiu, D. Towsley, J. Kurose (1998). Modeling TCP throughput: a simple model and its empirical validation. In: *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM 1998*.
- [31] Prabhu, N.U. (1965). *Queues and Inventories*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York.
- [32] Prabhu, N.U. (1980). *Stochastic Storage Processes*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [33] Roughan, M. (1996). An analysis of a modified M/G/1 queue using a martingale technique. *Journal of Applied Probability* **33**, 224–238.
- [34] Roughan, M., C.E.M. Pearce (1999). Analysis of a hysteretic overload control. In: *Proc. 16th ITC*. North-Holland Publ. Cy., Amsterdam, pp. 293–302.
- [35] Roughan, M., C.E.M. Pearce (2002). Martingale methods for analysing single-server queues. *Queueing Systems* **41**, 205–239.
- [36] Sharma, V. (2001). Queues with service rate controlled by a delayed feedback. *Queueing Systems* **39**, 303–315.
- [37] De Turck, K., S. Wittevrongel (2005). Delay analysis of the go-back- N ARQ protocol over a time-varying channel. In: *Proc. 2nd European Performance Evaluation Workshop (EPEW 2005)*. LNCS 3670, Springer, Berlin, pp. 124–138.
- [38] Tijms, H.C. (1976). Optimal control of the workload in an M/G/1 queueing system with removable server. *Math. Operationsforsch. Statist.* **7**, 933–944.
- [39] De Vuyst, S., S. Wittevrongel, H. Bruneel (2004). Delay analysis of the stop-and-wait ARQ protocol over a correlated channel. In: *Proc. HET-NETs '04*, pp. P21/1-P21/11.