

# Advanced Logic 2014–15

## Exercises Set 6

1. Prove the following statements by a deduction in the indicated system:

- (a)  $\vdash_K Kp \vee Kq \rightarrow K(p \vee q)$
- (b)  $\vdash_T p \rightarrow \neg K\neg p$
- (c)  $\vdash_T Kp \rightarrow \neg K\neg p$

2. Show that the following deduction rule is admissible in system K:

$$\frac{\varphi \rightarrow \psi}{K\varphi \rightarrow K\psi}$$

- 3. (a) Give an S5-derivation of  $\neg K\neg Kp \rightarrow p$ .  
(b) Show that  $\neg K\neg Kp \rightarrow p$  is not derivable in S4.
- 4. Show that  $\not\vdash_{S5} K_1 K_2 p \rightarrow K_2 K_1 p$ .
- 5. Let R be a binary relation. R is *euclidean* if  $\forall xyz (Rxy \wedge Rxz \rightarrow Ryz)$ . Prove:
  - (a) If R is reflexive and euclidean then R is symmetric.
  - (b) If R is euclidean and symmetric then R is transitive.
  - (c) If R is symmetric and transitive, then R is euclidean.
  - (d) R is reflexive and euclidean if and only if R is an equivalence relation.
- 6. In this exercise you show that A2, the axiom of positive introspection, is derivable in the system K extended with axioms A1 (veridicality) and A3 (negative introspection); call this system Q.
  - (a)  $\vdash_Q Kp \rightarrow \neg K\neg Kp$
  - (b)  $\vdash_Q \neg K\neg Kp \rightarrow K\neg K\neg Kp$
  - (c)  $\vdash_Q K\neg K\neg Kp \rightarrow KKp$
  - (d)  $\vdash_Q A2$

7. Consider a distributed system with processors  $1, \dots, n$  connected via a communication network. We denote by  $s_i$  the *local* state of processor  $i$  at a specific moment. We define the *global* state of the system to be the tuple  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ .

Epistemic relations  $R_i$  are now defined as follows. We assume that every processor  $i$  'knows' in what state it is, but does not know the states of the other processors. Thus the epistemic alternatives of processor  $i$ , that is, the global states he considers possible, are precisely those global states whose  $i$ -th element corresponds to his current local state  $s_i$ .

More precisely, we define a distributed epistemic frame  $\mathcal{F}$  by:

$$\mathcal{F} = (S, \{R_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n})$$

$$S = \{(s_1, \dots, s_n) \mid s_i \text{ is a local state of processor } i, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

$$R_i = \{(\vec{s}, \vec{t}) \mid s_i = t_i\}$$

- (a) Show that the relations  $R_i$  are equivalence relations, and conclude that the logic  $S5$  is valid in  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- (b) Show that  $\mathcal{F} \models Cp \leftrightarrow EEp$ .
8. Show that the axiom  $Cp \rightarrow CEp$  is valid in all epistemic frames.
9. Prove that if the formula  $p \rightarrow Ep$  is true in all points of an epistemic model  $\mathcal{M}$ , then so is  $p \rightarrow Cp$ .
10. Let **Equiv** be the class of epistemic  $n$ -frames  $\mathcal{F} = (W, \{R_1, \dots, R_n\})$  for the logic  $S5^+$ , that is, every  $R_i$  is an equivalence relation, and relations  $R_E$  and  $R_C$  are defined by  $R_E = R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n$  and  $R_C = (R_E)^+$ .

Prove or disprove validity in **Equiv** of the following formulas:

$$K_1(p \wedge q) \rightarrow K_1p \wedge K_1K_1q$$

$$K_2K_1p \rightarrow K_3K_1p$$

$$K_1K_2p \rightarrow K_1p$$

$$Ep \rightarrow Cp$$

$$EEEp \rightarrow Cp$$

$$Cp \rightarrow EEEp$$