L. WOLNIEWICZ SPECIAL ISSUE

OPEN ACCESS

Tavlor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

Precision measurement of quasi-bound resonances in $\rm H_2$ and the H + H scattering length

K.-F. Lai, E. J. Salumbides, M. Beyer and W. Ubachs

Department of Physics and Astronomy, LaserLaB, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Quasi-bound resonances of H₂ are produced via two-photon photolysis of H₂S molecules as reactive intermediates or transition states and detected before decay of the parent molecule into three separate atoms. As was previously reported [K. F. Lai et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 183001 (2021)] four centrifugally bound quantum resonances with lifetimes of multiple μ s, lying energetically above the dissociation limit of the electronic ground state $X^1 \Sigma_q^+$ of H₂, were observed as $X(v, J) = (7, 21)^*$, (8, 19)*, (9, 17)*, and (10, 15)*, while also the short-lived (~1.5 ns) quasi-bound resonance X(11, 13)* was probed. The present paper gives a detailed account on the identification of the quasi-bound or shape resonances, based on laser detection via $F^1\Sigma_g^+$ -X¹ Σ_g^+ two-photon transitions, and their strongly enhanced Franck-Condon factors due to the shifting of the wave function density to large internuclear separation. In addition, the assignment of the rotational quantum number is verified by subsequent multi-step laser excitation into autoionisation continuum resonances. Existing frameworks of full-fledged ab initio computations for the bound region in H₂, including Born-Oppenheimer, adiabatic, non-adiabatic, relativistic and quantum-electrodynamic contributions, are extended into the energetic range above the dissociation energy. These comprehensive calculations are compared to the accurate measurements of energies of quasi-bound resonances, finding excellent agreement. They show that the guasi-bound states are in particular sensitive to non-adiabatic contributions to the potential energy. From the potential energy curve and the correction terms, now tested at high accuracy over a wide range of energies and internuclear separations, the s-wave scattering length for singlet H+H scattering is determined at $a = 0.2735_{31}^{39}a_0$. It is for the first time that such an accurate value for a scattering length is determined based on fully ab initio methods including effects of adiabatic, non-adiabatic, relativistic and QED with contributions up to $m\alpha^6$.



ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 26 October 2021 Accepted 1 December 2021

KEYWORDS

Molecular hydrogen; shape resonance; scattering length; nonadiabatic perturbation theory; quantum electrodynamics

1. Introduction

Chemically bonded diatomic molecules in their ground electronic configuration typically support large but finite numbers of quantum levels, which are assigned with vibrational and rotational quantum numbers (v, J). These bound levels lie energetically below the dissociation threshold. Advanced calculations including nonadiabatic, relativistic and quantum-electrodynamical

CONTACT W. Ubachs 🖾 w.m.g.ubachs@vu.nl 💿 Department of Physics and Astronomy, LaserLaB, Vrije Universiteit De Boelelaan 1081, 1081 HV Amsterdam, Netherlands

Tribute to the late Prof. Lutoslaw Wolniewicz and honouring him for his inspirational contributions over many decades to the theory of the hydrogen molecule.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb C}$ 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

(QED) contributions for H₂ reveal that this simplest neutral molecule has 302 such bound states in its ground electronic configuration $(X^1 \Sigma_q^+)$ [1]. All these bound rovibrational states exhibit long lifetimes in excess of 10^5 s [2]. The computational methods for the determination of H₂ level energies witnessed great progress, starting with the wave-mechanical explanation that H₂ is a bound system by Heitler and London [3]. This evolved further to quantum-mechanical methods for the calculation of the dissociation energy of H₂, using two-centred wave functions by James and Coolidge [4] and the computations by Kolos and Roothaan [5], via the accurate computations including relativistic effects by Wolniewicz [6,7], to the state-of-the-art approaches involving four-particle variational calculations augmented with QED computations [8-12].

Progress on the theoretical side was matched by increasingly precise measurements of the dissociation energy of the smallest neutral molecule [13–20], now finding agreement between theory and experiment at the level of 10^{-5} cm⁻¹. The computations were verified via comparison with measurements of high-rotational angular momentum states [21] and vibrational splittings in H₂ [22–26]. These improvements both on the experimental and theoretical side have made the hydrogen molecule into a test ground for investigating the effect of fifth forces [27], extra dimensions of space-time [28], and for probing physics beyond the Standard Model [29].

In addition to the large set of bound quantum states there exists a class of molecular resonances bound by a positive centrifugal barrier of size $\hbar^2 J(J+1)/2\mu R^2$ (with μ the reduced mass of the molecule and R the internuclear distance) added to the potential energy of the rotationless molecule. Such resonances lie energetically above the dissociation threshold giving rise to quasibound states that are prone to tunnelling through this centrifugal barrier, a process known as rotational predissociation [30]. Such features were discussed in terms of a "mechanical instability" of molecules after observation of the breaking off of a rotational progression in the ground state of the HgH molecule as early as 1929, at the dawn of molecular quantum spectroscopy [31]. In that study it was explained that there exist only a limited number of such centrifugally bound states; for increasing values of *J* the potential energy again becomes repulsive. A similar phenomenon was observed in the case of AlH [32]. Recently, the quasi-bound resonances in the hydrogen molecular ion were probed and accurately measured via multi-step laser spectroscopy, revealing the $X^{+2}\Sigma_g^+(v^+, J^+) = (18, 4)^*$ resonance in para- H_{2}^{+} , and the X + (17, 7)* resonance in ortho- H_{2}^{+} [33,34]. These resonances in the three-particle quantum system

appeared to have lifetimes of 10–25 ps due to their rapid tunnelling through the centrifugal barrier.

The quasi-bound states studied here have previously been observed and assigned by Dabrowski [35] and by Roncin and Launay [36] in emission spectra of the Lyman and Werner bands with optical transitions terminating on such resonances. Over the years computations were performed for such resonances in the hydrogen neutral molecule [37–39] and these indicate that some of the resonances are long-lived with lifetimes in excess of μ s, and even up to few 100 μ s. This makes these quasi-bound resonances amenable in precision experiments with lasers of narrow bandwidth.

The ultraviolet photolysis of H₂S has proven to be an ideal target system for the production of highly energetic rovibrational states in the electronic ground configuration of H₂. In an explorative study, Steadman and Baer demonstrated the production of vibrationally excited H₂ as a product channel from H₂S photodissocation [40]. They assigned transitions between high-vibrational states in H₂ to both inner and outer well states in the $\mathrm{EF}^{1}\Sigma_{\sigma}^{+}$ double well potential. However, in the controlled two and three-laser studies probing $X(\nu'' = 11 - 14)$ [41–44] only transitions to the $F^1\Sigma_{\sigma}^+$ outer well could be confirmed. In an alternative study, starting from the photolysis of H₂CO, therewith producing H₂ vibrational states of intermediate quantum numbers $X(\nu'' = 3 - 9)$, two-photon transitions to both $EF^1\Sigma_g^+$ inner and outer well were found [45]. Precision spectroscopic measurements probing the vibrational states just below the dissociation threshold allowed for tests of molecular ab initio calculations for these quantum states exhibiting wave function density at large internuclear separation [44].

The present study, of which a preliminary report was published previously [46], explores the energy range just above the dissociation threshold of H₂ upon twophoton UV-photolysis of hydrogen sulphide molecules. This results in the detection of quasi-bound states in H_2 , using 2+1' resonance-enhanced multi-photon ionisation, and in the accurate determination of the excitation energies of the long-lived resonances. State-ofthe-art quantum calculations developed for the bound region are extended to the region above threshold and compared with measured resonance energies. Hence, the experiments on quasi-bound resonances allow for testing the potential energy curve of the H₂ molecule for a wide range of internuclear separations, that had not been explored before. The well-tested H₂ potential may then be applied to re-examine the H+H scattering dynamics and for the first time determine a value of the scattering length including effects of adiabatic, non-adiabatic, relativistic and QED with contributions up to $m\alpha^6$.

Via this way the precision measurement of the quasibound resonances provides detailed quantitative information on atomic scattering that uniquely bridges the gap between the ultracold physics of atomic hydrogen towards the chemical formation of molecular hydrogen.

2. Experimental

The experimental setup, displayed in some detail in Figure 1, is essentially similar to the one used previously for the detection of bound resonances below the dissociation threshold [42–44]. Two-photon UV-photolysis proceeds via the path:

$$H_2S \xrightarrow{2h\nu} S(^1D_2) + H_2^* \rightarrow S(^1D_2) + H(1s) + H(1s),$$

where H₂^{*} signifies a quasi-bound resonance existing as an intermediate reaction product before tunnelling and disintegrating in two H(1s) atoms. To illustrate the efficiency of this excitation scheme regarding the production of the shape resonances, the potential energy surface of the electronic ground state of H₂S is displayed in Figure 2, as a function of the $S - -H_2$ and H-H distance. The minimum of the potential is located around $R_{\rm S-H_2} \approx 1.7 \, a_0$ and $R_{\rm H-H} \approx 3.6 \, a_0$. Comparing this with the potential energy curve of the electronic ground state of H₂ (see lower part of Figure 2), it can be seen that the second classical turning point is located at a similar value of R_{H-H} , with the corresponding maximal amplitude of the radial wave function. This minute change of the proton-proton distance explains the efficient production of the shape resonances, in line with the Franck-Condon principle.

An excitation spectrum of the H₂S molecule, probed via 2+1 UV resonance-enhanced multi-photon ionisation



II. EXPERIMENTAL

Figure 1. Layout of the experimental setup involving three independently tunable UV-lasers, and a molecular beam apparatus. For details see text.



Figure 2. Potential energy surface for the electronic ground states of H_2S [47] as a function of the H-H (*R*) and S - H₂ distance.

is shown in Figure 3. Two relatively strong and broad resonances, at excitation wavelengths between 276 and 292 nm, are observed in the H_2S^+ ion channel, and are therefore associated with excited states in H₂S. The sharp resonances, labelled with asterisks, are identified as strong S⁺ ion signals from two-photon excitation of the S-atom, $({}^{4}S) 6p {}^{5}P_{1}$, $({}^{2}D) 4p {}^{1}P_{1}$, $({}^{2}D) 4p {}^{3}D_{1}$ and (²D) 4p ¹F₃ – $3p^{\overline{4}}$ ¹D₂ [48]. Production and precision spectroscopy of S atoms resulting from H₂S photolysis was investigated previously in our setup under similar conditions [49]. The strongest two resonances peaking at excitation energies of 68,700 and 70,900 cm⁻¹ are assigned as two-photon excitation to 4p $^{1}B_{1}$ (100) and 3d ¹B₂, respectively. Processes related to the first level have been discussed extensively by Steadman et al. [48]. The latter level is based on assignments in the absorption spectrum of Masuko et al. [50]. The selection rule for two-photon transitions from the ¹A₁ ground state in H₂S allows to observe ¹A₁, ¹A₂, ¹B₁ and ¹B₂, which cover all possible one-photon transitions. Masuko et al. proposed that the broad features peaking at wavelengths of 140.7 nm belong to two overlapping transitions, to $3d {}^{1}A_{1}$ and $3d {}^{1}B_{2}$ at 140.73 and 140.90 nm, respectively. However, Mayhew *et al.* assigned this feature to $3d^{1}B_{2}$ with a maximum at 140.72 nm based on the pattern of n = 4 in the same Rydberg series [51]. The *ab ini*tio calculations on H₂S excited state level energies and oscillator strengths have shown that the higher energy $3d^{1}A_{1}$ state has a stronger one-photon absorption compared to $3d^{1}B_{2}$ [52], in agreement with the assignment in Ref. [50]. The resonance peaking at 281.8 nm in the present work, equivalent to 140.9 nm in one-photon transition, shows a nearly symmetric profile, in contrast with the doublet structure observed in the absorption spectrum. Based on the limited information available, we follow the assignment of Ref. [50]. In addition, a pair of



Figure 3. Two-photon excitation spectrum of the H_2S molecule with probing of H_2S^+ ion species. The three narrow signals labelled with asterisk corresponding to two-photon transitions in the sulphur atom. See text for details.

weak doublet structures observed at excitation at wavelengths of 286.0 and 276.5 nm are interpreted as part of a vibrational progression 3d ¹B₁ (000) and (100). Further study is needed to support the assignments.

The photolysis resonance at 291 nm was used for the production and investigation of H₂ molecules in v = 11 vibrational states [43]. For the production of H₂ in v = 13, 14 levels photolysis on the two-photon resonance at 281.8 nm was employed [44]. In the present experiment the wavelength of the dissociation laser is tuned to this two-photon absorption resonance in H₂S at 281.8 nm, providing sufficient energy to overcome the dissociation energy, at $69,935(25) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, for a complete three particle dissociation [53,54] with an excess of 1000 cm^{-1} above threshold. Here it is noted that by spin selection rules, photolysis of H₂S only produces H₂ molecules in combination with sulphur atoms in the ¹D₂ electronically excited state. During all measurements this first photolysis laser is fixed at this wavelength of 281.8 nm, at the peak of the two-photon dissociation resonance of H₂S, for yielding an optimal amount of H₂^{*}. The excess energy is then released as kinetic energy in the product, giving H₂^{*} an additional momentum which makes them rapidly escape from the interaction zone. A fly-out time of 20 ns was measured from the interaction zone of the three overlapping UV-lasers.

The H₂^{*} short-lived resonances are probed in a three laser scheme, where two-photon UV photolysis of H₂S is followed by excitation of H₂^{*} via two-photon Doppler-free excitation into the F¹ Σ_g^+ , $\nu = 0$ electronically excited

outer well state, denoted as F0 in the following. In the potential energy diagram of the H_2 molecule, depicted in Figure 4, the wave functions of bound and a quasi-bound levels are plotted as a function of internuclear separation and at their respective excitation energies. It illustrates the binding and tunnelling of these states, while it also shows the favourable Franck-Condon overlap of their wave functions with the F0 level in the excited outer well. A third UV laser then further excites the F0 population into the autoionisation continuum, preferably on a strong autoionisation resonance, after which H_2^+ species can be detected for signal recording.

The frequency calibration of the spectroscopy laser, probing the excitation of quasi-bound resonances to the F0-outer well state, is crucial because it determines the accuracy at which the energy of those resonances are determined. This laser is a travelling-wave Pulsed-Dye-Amplifier (PDA) amplifying the output of a continuouswave (CW) ring-dye-laser, upon-frequency doubling delivering a pulsed output with a frequency bandwidth of $\sim 100 \text{ MHz}$ [55]. The absolute frequency calibration relies on saturated absorption spectroscopy of hyperfineresolved I₂ using the CW-output of the ring laser as well as a wavemeter (Toptica High-Finesse WSU-30) [56]. Effects of frequency chirp in the pulsed output of the PDA is analysed and corrected for, following established methods [55]. Excitation of the two-photon transitions is established in a Doppler-free geometry with counterpropagating beams aligned in a Sagnac interferometric scheme [57].



Figure 4. Potential energy curves for the $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$ ground state and the EF¹ Σ_g^+ excited states, drawn for the J = 0 rotationless energies and for higher odd J values, including the centrifugal energy. Also wave functions for a bound X(7,19) and quasi-bound X(8, 19)* level are displayed. The wave function for the F0(J = 19) excited level (upper panel) indicates overlap at large internuclear separation (4–5 a.u.).

The pulse sequences of the UV-lasers, all three with pulse durations ~ 5 ns, are such that delays of at least 10 ns are imposed to avoid possible AC-Stark effects induced by the photolysis and ionisation lasers and to obtain the highest accuracy on the determination of level energies of the quasi-bound resonances. The AC-Stark effects of the spectroscopy laser are systematically investigated with extrapolation to zero-power densities. These procedures lead to measurement of two-photon transition frequencies upon averaging over multiple measurement sequences. The resulting accuracies of the X-EF spectroscopic measurements are discussed in Section 4.

For most of the measurements the ion optics are triggered at least 80 ns delayed from the spectroscopy laser, therewith creating a DC-field-free environment. For the weak transitions prompt H_2^+ ions produced from H_2S photolysis are separated by applying a DC-field of 1.3 kV/cm of opposite polarity during excitation. It was experimentally verified that such DC-fields do not cause a Stark shift at the present precision level. This finding is in agreement with calculations based on polarisabilities for the EF state [58] and X state [59], predicting a less than 1 MHz shift for the DC-field used.

3. Theory

In support of the experimental studies several calculations are performed. For testing the level structure, and the combination differences between the $X^1 \Sigma_g^+(\nu, J)^*$ quasi-bound resonances, highly accurate computations are carried out for these levels. For assigning the quantum numbers of the quasi-bound resonances by their $F^1 \Sigma_g^+ - X^1 \Sigma_g^+$ transitions, computations are performed of the F0 levels, at a lower level of precision.

3.1. The $X^1 \Sigma_q^+$ state

Level energies for the quasi-bound states are calculated using the nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics (NRQED) approach, in which relativistic, leading-order radiative and higher order QED corrections are added to a nonrelativistic Hamiltonian. The nonrelativistic energy is traditionally evaluated within the Born-Oppenheimer (BO) approximation by keeping the position of the nuclei fixed. Because of the light mass of the nuclei with respect to the mass of the electron, the adiabatic correction, scaling with m_e/m_p , and the nonadiabatic correction to the BO approximation are substantial in case of the hydrogen molecule. Nonrelativistic level energies can also be obtained directly by a variational solution of the full four-body Schrödinger equation, without invoking the BO approximation [8-11]. Such beyond-BO calculations allow to reach an accuracy limited by the uncertainties of the fundamental constants, but are computationally expensive, because a separate variational calculation is needed for every single ro-vibrational level.

Nonadiabatic perturbation theory (NAPT) [60] maintains the computational efficiency of the BO approach through separating the electronic and nuclear Schrödinger equation, while preserving accuracy. This is achieved by including *R*-dependent corrections to the potential energy curve and by employing *R*-dependent reduced masses in the nuclear Schrödinger equation, accounting for leading-order nonadiabatic interactions on the order $(m_e/m_p)^2$. These methods were previously explored by Kutzelnigg and coworker [61,62]. Because no full four-body nonrelativistic energies are available for the quasi-bound states reported here, level energies were obtained using NAPT as presented in Ref. [63].

The radial nuclear Schrödinger equation (in atomic units) within NAPT is given by [63]

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \frac{R^2}{2\mu_{\parallel}(R)} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} + \frac{J(J+1)}{2\mu_{\perp}(R)R^2} + \mathcal{V}(R) \end{bmatrix} \phi_i(R)$$

= $E_i \phi_i(R),$ (1)

with the *R*-dependent vibrational (μ_{\parallel}) and rotational (μ_{\perp}) reduced masses

$$\frac{1}{2\mu_{\parallel}(R)} = \frac{1}{2\mu_{a}} + W_{\parallel}(R), \text{ and}$$
(2)

$$\frac{1}{2\mu_{\perp}(R)} = \frac{1}{2\mu_{a}} + W_{\perp}(R), \tag{3}$$

with $\mu_a = (m_p + 1)/2$ being the reduced atomic mass. The functions $W_{\parallel}(R)$ and $W_{\perp}(R)$ are defined in Ref. [63] and vanish for $R \to \infty$. The potential $\mathcal{V}(R)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{V}(R) = \mathcal{E}_{\rm BO} + \mathcal{E}_{\rm ad} + \delta \mathcal{E}_{\rm na},\tag{4}$$

representing the adiabatic [64] and nonadiabatic [65] corrections to the BO potential energy curve [66].

Commonly, a new radial function is defined as $f_i(R) = R\phi_i(R)$ to remove terms involving the first derivative $\partial/\partial R$ in (1), leading to a simplified equation involving only the second derivative and some multiplicative factors. However, because of the *R*-dependence of $\mu_{\parallel}(R)$, a term $W'_{\parallel}(R)(\partial/\partial R)$ remains, where $W'_{\parallel}(R)$ indicates the first derivative of $W_{\parallel}(R)$ with respect to *R*. Using the ansatz $\chi_i(R) = R\phi_i(R) \exp(-Z(R))$ in (1) [38,67], the first derivative term vanishes for Z(R) fulfilling

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Z(R)}{\mathrm{d}R} = \mu_{\parallel} W_{\parallel}'(R). \tag{5}$$

The radial Schrödinger equation, Equation (1), can then be written as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2\mu_{\parallel}(R)} \frac{d^2}{dR^2} - \frac{\mu_{\parallel}(R)}{2} \left(W'_{\parallel}(R) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} W''_{\parallel}(R) \\ + \frac{1}{R} W'_{\parallel}(R) + \frac{J(J+1)}{2\mu_{\perp}(R)R^2} + \mathcal{V}(R) \end{bmatrix} \chi_i(R) = E_i \chi_i(R),$$
(6)

which can be solved using the renormalised Numerov method introduced by Johnson [68].

Relativistic $(m\alpha^4)$ and QED $(m\alpha^5, m\alpha^6)$ corrections were evaluated as described in Ref. [63] using the BO nuclear wavefunction, obtained by solving (6) using the nuclear reduced mass $\mu_n = m_p/2$, $\mathcal{V}(R) = \mathcal{E}_{BO}$ and Z(R) = 0. The nonadiabatic part of the relativistic correction is known to be important and was also included [63], whereas we ignored higher order QED and finite size corrections, which are below 1 MHz for the states considered here.

The potential energy functions, relativistic and QED corrections, as well as the *R*-dependent reduced masses and its derivatives were interpolated on a grid with 0.001 a_0 stepsize in the range 0.1 a_0 to $R_{\text{max}} = 25a_0$ using the H2SPECTRE program [63]. The CODATA2018 [69] values were used for the fundamental constants: $E_h =$

219, 474.63136320(43) cm⁻¹ , $m_p = 1836.15267343(11)$ and $\alpha = 7.2973525693(11) \times 10^{-3}$.

When comparing the nonadiabatic level energies, relativistic and QED corrections of the bound states obtained by solving (6) with the renormalised Numerov method, we found exact agreement with the results obtained by using H2SPECTRE, which is based on a discrete-variable representation [70]. The current version of H2SPECTRE does however not allow the calculation of quasi-bound states and resonances.

As for the quasi-bound states, resonance positions and widths are determined by calculating the phase shift for a given J by propagating the wave function to large internuclear distance, where

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} Z(R) = 0 \tag{7}$$

and

t

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \chi(R;k) \propto kR(j_J(kR) \cos \eta_J - n_J(kR) \sin \eta_J), \quad (8)$$

where j_I and n_J are the spherical Bessel functions and $k = \sqrt{2\mu_a(E - \mathcal{V}(\infty))}$. The phase shift for a given energy $\eta_J(E)$ was derived from the values of the wave function at the two outermost grid points R_a and $R_b = R_{\text{max}}$ using

an
$$\eta_J = \frac{Kj_J(kR_a) - j_J(kR_b)}{Kn_J(kR_a) - n_J(kR_b)}; \quad K = \frac{R_a \chi_J(R_b)}{R_b \chi_J(R_a)}.$$
 (9)

The energy grid in the vicinity of a resonance was made adaptive by requiring a certain number of points per phase jump π .

Resonance parameters are determined within the collision-time-delay approach developed by Smith [71]. For a single channel, the scattering matrix is $S = \exp[2i\eta_I]$ and the time-delay matrix *Q* is given by

$$Q = -iS^* \frac{dS}{dE} = 2\frac{d\eta_J}{dE} = \frac{\Gamma}{(E - E_{\rm res})^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2},$$
 (10)

where the energy-dependence of the background phase shift has been neglected. The resonance position corresponds to the position of the maximum of Q, i.e. the energy at which $\frac{d\eta_J}{dE}$ is maximal (point of inflexion of the phase-shift curve). The level width is given by

$$\Gamma^{(Q)} = \frac{4}{Q(E_{\rm res})} = \frac{2}{\frac{d\eta_I}{dE}|_{E=E_{\rm res}}}.$$
(11)

The quasi-bound states in ortho-H₂ are known to have narrow width [39] and a very fine energy grid would be required to locate an increase of π in the phase shift. To obtain a first estimate of the level positions we choose to extend the effective potential in (4): the maximum height of the centrifugal barrier V_{bar} at R_{bar} is determined

Table 1. Contributions to the dissociation energies of the observed shape resonances of H_2 in cm⁻¹.

Contribution/X $(v, J)^*$	(11,13)*	(10,15)*	(9,17)*	(8,19)*	(7,21)*
$m\alpha^2$	-192.2683(29)	-186.1598(36)	-224.5890(40)	-327.0262(43)	-505.4818(45)
Adiabatic	3.1895	4.2857	5.3013	6.2827	7.2555
Nonadiabatic	2.6847	3.2666	3.6583	3.9268	4.1120
$m\alpha^4$	-0.2254	-0.2945	-0.3540	-0.4076	-0.4570
BO	-0.2261	-0.2952	-0.3546	-0.4081	-0.4575
Nonadiabatic	0.0007	0.0006	0.0006	0.0005	0.0004
$m\alpha^5$	-0.0008	0.0002	0.0021	0.0047	0.0079
$m\alpha^6$	-0.0001	-0.0001	-0.0001	-0.0001	-0.0001
Total	-192.4945(29)	-186.4542(36)	-224.9410(40)	-327.4291(43)	-505.9310(45)
Comparison [39]	-192.50	-186.46	-224.95	-327.43	-505.93
Г _{FWHM} (kHz)	1×10^{5}	70	1	0.5	1
Lifetime (s)	$2 imes 10^{-9}$	2×10^{-6}	2×10^{-4}	$3 imes 10^{-4}$	$2 imes 10^{-4}$

Notes: A negative dissociation energy indicates that these levels lie above the dissociation threshold. A comparison is made with results from Selg [39].

and we set $\mathcal{V}(R > R_{ext}) = 0.5 \cdot \mathcal{V}_{bar}$ for R_{ext} being determined when $\mathcal{V}(R_{ext} > R_{bar}) = 0.5 \cdot \mathcal{V}_{bar}$. Under these conditions the quasi-bound states will appear as bound states and can be easily located. Nonadiabatic level energies found using the modified potential agree within 4 MHz for X(11,13)* and to better than 200 kHz for X(7,21)*, X(8,19)*, X(9,17)* and X(10,15)* with the energies found using (10). The nonadiabatic level energies for the five resonances in ortho-H₂ experimentally observed are given in Table 1.

The relativistic and QED corrections summarised in Table 1 are calculated using the BO nuclear wave functions obtained using the modified potential, which allows to circumvent the use of energy-normalised continuum wave function in the NAPT approach. This is expected to be an excellent approximation for the aimed precision and was verified by a scattering calculation that included the relativistic and QED corrections in (4). Deviations of level positions between 10 and 22 MHz were found, which we attribute to the fact that the nonadiabatic contributions to the relativistic correction (\sim 20 MHz) are taken into account at a different level of approximation. The full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) is obtained using (11) and is given together with the natural lifetime at the bottom of Table 1.

The theoretical uncertainties are determined by the leading order terms neglected in the NAPT approach, which are estimated by scaling the second-order nonadiabatic $m\alpha^2$ corrections with $1/\mu_n$ [63] and are found to be between 87 and 135 MHz for the quasi-bound states. The computation of binding energies for the H₂^{*} quasibound resonances by Selg [39], at a claimed accuracy of 0.01 cm⁻¹, are found to agree with the present values within 0.01 cm⁻¹ (or 300 MHz).

3.2. The $F^1\Sigma_a^+$ state

To predict transition frequencies for the F0-X transitions, term values of the $EF^1\Sigma_g^+$ electronic state were

calculated using Born-Oppenheimer [72], adiabatic [73] and relativistic [74] potential energy curves. Leading order radiative corrections were taken into account by using the corresponding curves of the hydrogen molecular ion [75]. Nonadiabatic energies for the F0 states are reported for J = 0-5 in Ref. [76] and were obtained from a coupled-equations calculation including several gerade states. In the spirit of Ref. [77] the energy difference between the reported nonadiabatic and the current adiabatic term values are parametrised as $\Delta T_{\rm NA} =$ a + bJ(J + 1), relating a to homogeneous and b to heterogeneous interactions. The found parameters a = 0.67 cm^{-1} and $b = -0.009 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are expected to predict F0 term values with an accuracy of around 1 cm^{-1} . These renewed computations for the FO(J) levels energies were performed since values for the highest *J*-levels were lacking in [78]. Based on a comparison with experimental values for FO(J) at low J [79] the present computations are shown to be more accurate. Values for FO(J), for the J-values relevant to the present study, are listed in Table 2.

4. Detection, identification and precision measurement of the quasi-bound resonances

The product distribution of rovibrationally excited states in H₂, upon two-photon photolysis of H₂S, was first measured in an overview scan in the wavelength range 300–310 nm and displayed in Figure 5. The overview spectrum shows many lines in (ν', ν'') vibrational bands in the F¹ Σ_g^+ -X¹ Σ_g^+ system, detected via 2+1 resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionisation. Progressions of O($\Delta J = -2$), Q($\Delta J = 0$) and S($\Delta J = 2$) rotational branches are overlaid, thus forming a dense spectrum.

The assignment of the F0-X transitions originating in quasi-bound resonances is based on a comparison with computed level separations between $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ and $F^1\Sigma_g^+$ levels as presented in Section 3. To obtain the excitation energies of H_2^* above $X^1\Sigma_g^+(\nu = 0, J = 0)$

8 🕳 K.-F. LAI ET AL.

Table 2. Measured frequencies for the two-photon F0-X transitions probing the quasi-bound levels H ² ₂ , with uncertainties indicated in
parentheses. Also some transitions for bound H ₂ levels are included, relevant for comparison. Computed values from the present work
for the F0(J) levels are listed. Term values of the quasi-bound resonances $X(v, J)^*$ are obtained from the dissociation energies given in
Table 1 and adding the most recent theoretical values for the dissociation energy $D_0 = 36, 118.069605(31) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [19]. For the bound
states values from H2SPECTRE [60,80] are taken. From a combination of these a calculation of two-photon transitions frequencies F0-
X can be made. Finally, in the last column the deviations between experimental and predicted frequencies are listed as $\Delta_{ExpCalc.}$ All
values in cm ⁻¹ .

X(<i>v</i> , <i>J</i>)	Transition	Exp.	F0(J) _{calc}	$X(v, J)^*_{calc}$	Calculated	$\Delta_{Exp.-Calc.}$
(7,21)*	Q(21)	65,441.3575 (9)	102,064.12	36,624.0006	65,440.12	1.24
(7,21)*	O(21)	64,979.430 (10)	101,602.55	36,624.0006	64,978.55	0.88
(8,19)*	Q(19)	65,157.9413 (21)	101,602.55	36,445.4988	65,157.05	0.89
(8,19)*	S(19)	65,619.8599 (8)	102,064.12	36,445.4988	65,618.62	1.24
(8,19)*	O(19)	64,734.8098 (9) ^a	101,179.70	36,445.4988	64,734.20	0.61
(9,17)*	Q(17)	64,837.2974 (19)	101,179.70	36,343.0107	64,836.69	0.61
(9,17)*	O(17)	64,454.775 (10)	100,797.40	36,343.0107	64,454.39	0.39
(10,15)*	O(15)	64,152.970 (20) ^b	100,457.32	36,304.5238	64,152.80	0.17
(10,15)*	Q(15)	64,493.2404 (9)	100,797.40	36,304.5238	64,492.88	0.36
(11,13)*	Q(13)	64,146.930 (20) ^b	100,457.32	36,310.5641	64,146.76	0.17
(8,17)	Q(17)	66,044.7046 (9)	101,179.70	35,135.6038	66,044.10	0.61
(9,15)	Q(15)	65,571.9063 (19)	100,797.40	35,225.8567	65,571.54	0.36
(9,15)	S(15)	65,954.4505 (10)	101,179.70	35,225.8567	65,953.84	0.61

^aMeasured both in DC-field free and in DC-field for prompt ion removal.

^bMeasured with 3 ns delay, hence temporal overlap, between dissociation laser and spectroscopy laser.



Figure 5. (Left): Overview spectra recorded in a two-laser scheme with two-photon UV-photolysis of H_2S , followed by 2+1 REMPI on F-X(v', v'') bands with a UV-tunable frequency-doubled dye laser. Transitions are labelled with quantum numbers of the ground level. Excitations from quasi bound resonances are indicated with asterisk (*). The dashed arrows represent the calculated positions of unobserved transitions. (Right): Calculated Franck-Condon factors for the bands F-X(0, v'') as a function of *J*.

the values for the binding energies of X(v, J) are augmented with the value for the dissociation energy of H₂, for which the most recent experimental value was taken, $D_0 = 36, 118.069605(31) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [19], which is in excellent agreement with the theoretical value of $D_0 = 36, 118.069632$ (26) cm⁻¹ [12]. From a combination of these values, included in Table 2, a prediction can be made for the F0-X transitions probing the quasi-bound resonances. Although the predictions are systematically off by $\sim 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ from measurement, they can be considered proof for the assignment of the H₂(v, J)* levels.

It is noted that the found deviations from experiment simply reflect the inaccuracy in the *ab initio* calculations for FO(J) levels, which is by itself considered very good for electronically excited states in H₂. The transitions are assigned in Figure 5, where the transitions originating in quasi-bound resonances are marked with an asterisk (*).

The overview spectrum of Figure 5 shows that the two-photon excitations originating in the H_2^* quasibound resonances are markedly more intense than the excitations for the bound resonances at lower rotational quantum number. This apparent deviation of the



Figure 6. Excitation spectra of two photon transitions in the F-X system probing a number of quasi-bound resonances H_2^* , including from the X(11,13)* short-lived one, and from the bound state X(9,15). The insets show the AC-Stark extrapolation from measurements at varying pulse energies to zero power levels.

Franck-Condon principle is a direct consequence of the shift of wave function density of the quasi-bound levels to large internuclear separation (see also Figure 4). A computation of *J*-dependent Franck-Condon factors for the F0-X transitions, results of which are displayed in Figure 5, show this exquisite behaviour. The Franck-Condon factors were calculated using the adiabatic wave functions and using box-normalisation for the quasi-bound states. This provides further proof for an unambiguous assignment of the quasi-bound states detected experimentally.

In the experimental configuration with three UVlasers non-overlapping in time, four quasi-bound resonances, X(7,21)*, X(8,19)*, X(9,17)* and X(10,15)*, are probed in a precision scheme, with the narrowband PDA-laser detecting the Doppler-free $F^1 \Sigma_g^+ - X^1 \Sigma_g^+$ twophoton transition. In Figure 6 several examples of the precision frequency measurements are presented, exciting quasi-bound resonances, further referred to as H_2^* , into the electronically excited F0 state, with a subsequent UV pulse for H_2^+ formation and detection. An excitation spectrum from the bound state X(9,15) is also shown. The short-lived X(11,13)* quasi-bound resonance, with a lifetime of 1.6 ns, was probed in an experimental configuration with a 3 ns separation (and thus in part temporally overlapping) between dissociation laser and the (PDA)probe laser, yielding a spectrum at low signal-to-noise ratio (see Figure 6).

The spectroscopic measurements are performed for varying intensities allowing for the assessment of the AC-Stark effect in extrapolation to zero field as shown in the insets. All five quasi-bound states have been excited via a Q-transition ($\Delta J = 0$), while some are also probed via S- or O-transitions. The extrapolated zero-intensity values of the highly accurate transition frequencies are compiled in Table 2.

For the higher intensities used, the AC-Stark effect is the determining factor in the spectral linewidth, while for the lowest intensities the laser bandwidth of the PDA significantly contributes. The narrowest spectrum obtained is about 200 MHz FWHM, close to the PDA linewidth (including effects of frequency doubling and two-photon excitation). No broadening effect on the spectral line shape as a result of tunnelling through the centrifugal barrier was found. Resonances with $X(v, I)^* = (7,21)$, (8,19) and (9,17) are predicted to have lifetimes in excess of 100μ s, while X(10,15)* lives for 2μ s (see Table 1). These lifetimes (short with respect to the bound states) lead to increased natural linewidths, but this is still below the frequency resolution under the present experimental conditions. In fact not even the short-lived X(11,13)* resonance exhibits a significant line broadening at the present resolution.

The error budget for the frequency calibrations, presented in Table 3, contains a variety of contributions. Minor contributions relate to the calibration uncertainty of the cw-laser seeding the PDA, of some 2 MHz, while a residual Doppler shift from misalignment of the counterpropagating beams is reduced by Sagnac interferometry to below 3 MHz uncertainty. The chirp-induced frequency correction accounts for another 4.5 MHz uncertainty. The AC-Stark effect yields the largest contribution

 Table 3. Uncertainty budget for the measurements of two-photon F0-X transitions.

Contribution	Uncertainty (MHz)
Lineshape fitting	15
Frequency calibration	9
CW-pulse offset (chirp)	18
Residual Doppler effect	3
DC-Stark effect	< 1
Subtotal (exl. AC-Stark)	25
AC-Stark effect	3–60

Note: The uncertainty for the AC-Stark extrapolations is estimated for individual transitions, where the total uncertainties are listed in Table 2.

to the error budget. It is addressed by performing systematic measurements resulting in the AC-Stark slopes as indicated in Figure 6. The uncertainty associated with AC-Stark results from the extrapolation to zero-power levels and depends on the obtained signal-to-noise ratio for individual lines. In order to reduce the contributions of the AC-Stark effect various campaigns of remeasurement of the Stark-slopes were carried out, thus turning the systematic effect into a statistical distribution of results. For some weak transitions, for example F0-X11 Q(13) and F0-X10 O(15), this could not be done effectively, and only measurements at high laser power were performed leading to larger uncertainties. For each resonance targeted and listed in Table 2 the resulting final experimental uncertainty was deduced from the statistical and systematic contributions and by taking them in quadrature. This leads to a variety of resulting uncertainties, mainly associated with the number of measurement campaigns for each line and the obtainable signal-tonoise ratio. For the Q-branch lines an optimum accuracy was obtained at the level of 0.001 cm^{-1} , corresponding to 30 MHz. It is noted that the overall measurement uncertainty has been improved in comparison with previous work [44]; this is related to increased statistical averaging and stronger signal strength resulting from larger FC-factors for the measured transitions.

During the recording of the F0-X two-photon transitions by tuning the narrowband PDA-laser (see Figure 6) the third UV-laser is parked on an arbitrary autoionisation resonance for optimum H_2^+ signal generation. Alternatively, when signal is found, either on bound states or on quasi-bound resonances, the wavelength of the third laser may be scanned while keeping the spectroscopy laser fixed. By this means the energy range in H_2 above 130, 000 cm⁻¹ is probed relying on autoionisation. In Figure 7 autoionisation spectra are displayed that were recorded after setting the spectroscopy laser on the two-photon transitions from X(v = 9, J = 15)bound and $X(v = 10, J = 15)^*$ quasi-bound states. The autoionisation spectra are plotted against the term value corresponding to the total excitation energy in the H_2

molecule. The one-to-one correspondence between the autoionisation resonances proofs that these spectra are taken from an intermediate state with the same angular momentum J, thus proving that the quasi-bound resonance has I = 15. Note that the small differences in the intensities are caused by the differences in (vibrational) wave function overlap for v = 9 and v = 10 levels and the ionic states. The fact that an autoionising Rydberg series is observed converging to the $H_2^+(v^+ =$ $1, N^+ = 15$) provides further evidence that the intermediate state is J = 15. Such autoionisation spectra were recorded for all quasi-bound resonances, therewith verifying their J-quantum numbers. Apart from providing additional proof on the assignment of resonances, this method gives access to H₂ Rydberg states with unprecedentedly high rotational angular momentum of the H_2^+ ion core, to be explored in future.

5. Discussion

Five quasi-bound resonances were detected in a precision experiment. These resonances had previously been observed as terminal levels in emission via the Lyman and Werner bands in studies by Dabrowski [35] and by Roncin and Launay [36] at an accuracy of 0.05 cm^{-1} . The precision of the present Doppler-free laser excitation study is much improved, by more than an order of magnitude. The novelty of the present work derives not only from the improved accuracy, but also on the fact that the centrifugally bound H^{*}₂ resonances could be produced as a transition state in a photolysis process and thereupon detected via 2+1' resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionisation before tunnelling and separating into two H(1s) atoms.

5.1. Test of the H₂ potential

Although the quasi-bound resonances were probed in a precision experiment the detection pathway via the $F^1\Sigma_g^+$ outer well state does not provide a means to determine the (negative) binding energy of the resonances in a direct manner. Hence, the accurate first principles computations of H₂^{*}(X), at an accuracy of 0.003–0.004 cm⁻¹ (cf. Table 1), cannot directly be compared with observation. In order to make a comparison possible, combination differences between resonances of bound and quasi-bound nature all lying near threshold, have been determined via the level diagram plotted in Figure 8. The energy of all resonances is deduced relative to the X(10,15)* level and the experimental uncertainties are included via error propagation. Theoretical values for



Figure 7. Two recordings of autoionisation spectra after probing different two-photon transitions in the F-X system, one from the bound X(9,15) state and one from the quasi-bound X(10,15)* resonance. The similarity of the resonances in both spectra and the observation of a Rydberg series converging to the $X^+(v^+ = 1, N^+ = 15)$ limit in H_2^+ proves that a J = 15 state is probed at the intermediate level.

Table 4. Combination differences between H^{*}₂ quasi-bound resonances, and some bound resonances.

X(<i>v</i> , <i>J</i>)	$T_{\rm rel}^{\rm exp}$	$T_{\rm rel}^{\rm calc}$	ΔT
(7,21)*	319.4772 (28)	319.4768 (9)	0.0004 (29)
(8,17)	-1168.9200 (25)	-1168.9200 (12)	0.0000 (28)
(8,19)*	140.9748 (25)	140.9750 (7)	-0.0002 (26)
(9,15)	-1078.6659 (21)	-1078.6671 (11)	0.0012 (24)
(9,17)*	38.4872 (30)	38.4869 (4)	0.0003 (30)
(10,15)*	0	0	0
(11,13)*	6.041 (28)	6.0404 (6)	0.001 (28)

Notes: Level energies are plotted with respect to the $X(10, 15)^*$ resonance. A comparison is made between experimental determination and computed values, while in the last column deviations are given. Note that these discrepancies relate to the inaccuracy in the calculation of F0(*J*) levels, and not to inaccuracies in the bound and quasi-bound resonances on the ground state potential. All values in cm⁻¹.

these combination differences are computed and a comparison with experiment is made in Table 4. The uncertainty of the higher order terms in the NAPT approach are related to an interaction with distant electronically excited states. For this reason, the uncertainties for the individual X(v,J) levels are not independent of each other, so that we estimated the accuracy of the calculated intervals by multiplying the non-adiabatic correction of the interval with $1/\mu_n$. Its outcome demonstrates that the deviations between experimental and computed combination differences are well within 0.001 cm⁻¹ (30 MHz).

This excellent agreement constitutes a test of the high accuracy of the calculated potential energy curve of H_2 , including all adiabatic, non-adiabatic, relativistic and QED contributions as discussed in Section 3. The unprecedented experimental accuracy for highly excited vibrational states verifies the computations in the NAPTframework [60] for the H_2 potential specifically at large internuclear distances.

This comes in addition to the tests of the theoretical framework near the bottom part of the H₂ potential. The rotationless fundamental vibrational splitting ($\Delta \nu' =$ 1-0) in H₂ was measured to an accuracy of 5 MHz in a molecular beam experiment [25]. This experimental value is in agreement within a single standard deviation, both with NAPT (uncertainty of 27 MHz) and with the more precise 4-particle non-Born-Oppenheimer calculation (at 9 MHz uncertainty). The S(3) line in the second overtone ($\Delta v' = 3 - 0$) was measured to high accuracy in Doppler-broadened cavity-ring down spectroscopy [22,23]. A reanalysis of its collisional line shape, yielding an accuracy of 6 MHz [81], is again found in agreement with theory. The measurement of the first overtone $(\Delta v' = 2 - 0)$ reached a lower accuracy of 30 MHz [82], also in agreement with theory. The Q(J = 1, 3, 5, 7)level splittings for $\Delta v' = 11 - 13$ were determined with an accuracy between 84 and 93 MHz by using combination differences of F-X transitions, also agreeing with NAPT calculations [44]. Measurements of the dissociation energy (D_0) of H₂ also probe the bottom part of the potential. The most recent experimental determinations



Figure 8. Level diagram of X(v, J) and F0(J) rotational levels with the H₂(X¹ Σ_g^+) dissociation limit given as a dashed line. Measured transitions, plotted as (black) arrows, connect all the observed quasi-bound resonance including measurements of weak S- and O- transitions. The energy splittings are determined from the combination differences and listed in Table 4.

of $D_0(H_2)$ [18–20] are found to be in agreement with theory [12] at the level of 1 MHz.

The combination of these experimental data allows the first specific test of the H₂ potential energy curves and the R-dependent reduced masses at the 90 MHz level over the entire relevant range of internuclear distances, as illustrated in Figure 9. The upper panel of Figure 9 displays the BO, adiabatic, non-adiabatic, relativistic and QED potential energy curves normalised to ± 1 around $R \approx 2.5 a_0$ for better comparison. The absolute values of the displayed BO, adiabatic and $m\alpha^4$ relativistic potential energy curves normalised to ± 1 amount to \sim 38,293 cm⁻¹, \sim 18 cm⁻¹ and \sim 0.9 cm⁻¹, respectively. Similarly, one finds 1.6 GHz, 8 MHz and 0.66 GHz for the $m\alpha^5$, $m\alpha^6$ and the non-adiabatic correction. The middle panel shows the R-dependent reduced vibrational and rotational masses, $\mu_{\parallel}(R)$ and $\mu_{\perp}(R)$, varying smoothly from the nuclear reduced mass at $R \rightarrow 0$, to the atomic reduced mass for $R \rightarrow \infty$. The lower panel displays the squared vibrational wavefunctions of the bound states for v = 0 and 3 (black solid line), and the observed X(11,13) quasi-bound state (coloured solid line). It can be seen that the previous experimental studies with v < 3tested the potential mainly for R below 2.5 a_0 . For the observed state X(3,2), 99% of the radial probability density is within the interval $[0.9, 2.5]a_0$, whereas the interval $[1, 6.6]a_0$ is presently probed by the X(11, 13) state. At 6.6a₀ the *R*-dependent reduced rotational and vibrational masses only deviate by 0.0003% and 0.002% from the asymptotic atomic reduced mass, respectively. The

various parts of the potential reached their respective asymptotic value to within 2% or less, which amounts to 76, 0.09 and 0.01 cm^{-1} for the BO, adiabatic and relativistic potential and less than 42 MHz for the remaining contributions.

In view of confronting experimental values for testing theoretical approaches it is illustrative to consider the numerical contributions of the nonadiabatic, relativistic and orders of QED corrections as included in the computations of Section 3. In Figure 10 the various contributions to the total energy are graphically displayed in a (v, J) colour plot. While $m\alpha^n$ (n = 4-6) for relativistic and QED-contributions is smallest for the highest v-levels, the non-adiabatic correction has the largest contribution for vibrational levels v = 7-11, hence for the quasi-bound states probed in the current experiment. In the same manner Figure 10 illustrates that the $m\alpha^4$ relativistic term is best tested through precision experiments on levels with low-v and high-J as was done previously [21].

It was long understood that the magnitude of the nonadiabatic corrections is related to the vibrational kinetic energy, which reaches its maximum for v = 9. That turns the present precision measurement of quasibound resonances into a specific test of computations of the non-adiabatic correction term in the computation of H₂ level energies. It should be noted that in Figure 10 the values for the energy contributions are plotted, and that their uncertainties scale with the absolute values [63] $(1/\mu_n, < 2 \times 10^{-4}, 5 \times 10^{-4}, 3 \times 10^{-3}, respectively).$



Figure 9. Upper panel: Range of the BO potential energy curve and the various corrections normalised to +1 or -1 around the mean internuclear distance for better comparison. Middle panel: *R*-dependent vibrational and rotational reduced masses, $\mu_{\parallel}(R)$ and $\mu_{\perp}(R)$, used to take non-adiabatic effects into account within the framework of NAPT. Lower panel: Radial probability density of the v = 0, 3 bound states (black solid line) and the X(11,13) quasi-bound state (coloured solid line). The squared *s*-wave zero-energy wave function is shown for comparison (dotted line, not to scale).

The dissociation energy sets the most stringent test of the potential energy curves, because it probes the internuclear distances where the corrections are largest, as can be seen by comparing the top and lower panel of Figure 9. The quasi-bound states, however, allow to test the potentials over a much wider range, while being particularly sensitive to the non-adiabatic effects. By scaling the individual potential energy surfaces and repeating the calculation of the dissociation energy D_0 (and quasi-bound intervals, given in brackets), upper limits are found to be: BO: 10^{-9} (5 × 10^{-7}), AD: 5 × 10^{-6} (8 × 10^{-4}), $m\alpha^4$: 6 × 10^{-4} (2 × 10^{-2}), $m\alpha^5$: 2 × 10^{-4} (4 × 10^{-1}), $m\alpha^6$: 2×10^{-2} (3 × 10¹) and NA: 1 × 10⁻³ (1), respectively. Using the quasi-bound intervals we also constrained a relative error of W_{\parallel} and W_{\perp} to 0.05 and 0.002, respectively.

5.2. H + H scattering

The H + H scattering process is of fundamental interest in physics, playing a role in the formation of molecular hydrogen in the universe [83], frequency shifts in the hydrogen maser [84], the magnitude of pressure shifts



Figure 10. Nonadiabatic, $m\alpha^4$, $m\alpha^5$ and $m\alpha^6$ corrections for the bound states and the long-living quasi-bound states of H₂ for J = 0-21. Note that the uncertainties for the separate contributions scale with the actual values plotted here (see text).

in the 1S-2S transition of atomic hydrogen for a determination of the Rydberg constant [85,86], and for the formation of a Bose-Einstein condensate in hydrogen [87]. Because of the light atomic mass, non-adiabatic effects are especially important in this collision process and the question about the correct treatment of these was vividly discussed in the literature [88] (and references therein).

The scattering process can be described by a single parameter at low temperature, the scattering length a given by

$$a = -\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\tan \eta_{J=0}}{k}.$$
 (12)

Whereas previously reported scattering lengths obtained by different authors within the BO and adiabatic approximations were found to agree, the values for the nonadiabatic scattering length varied between 0.3006 a_0 and 0.564 a_0 (see Table 3 in Ref. [88] and references therein), depending on the used reduced masses and effective correction potentials employed to account for the non-adiabatic interactions. Moreover, Wolniewicz attempted a direct solution of the coupled equations [89] but encountered elementary difficulties because of spurious nonadiabatic couplings for $R \rightarrow \infty$ related to the choice of coordinates used to describe molecular states, not suited for the description of the free atoms in the asymptotic region [90].

We choose here the NAPT approach that was experimentally verified with MHz precision up to $R \sim 6.6 a_0$, noting that at this distance the *R*-dependent masses and all potentials but the BO, adiabatic and relativistic potentials reached their asymptotic value (see Section 5.1). The squared s-wave scattering wave function is displayed for comparison in the lower panel of Figure 9. A comparison with the radial densities for the bound and quasi-bound states indicates that the whole range of internuclear distances with a significant potential energy term are probed. In addition, as can be seen from the middle panel of Figure 9, the hump in the vibrational reduced mass $\mu_{\parallel}(R)$ is completely located within the interval probed by the resonances, which allows a verification of the main part of the non-adiabatic correction to the scattering length. This allows for the first time to calculate scattering lengths employing an experimentally verified approach for taking the non-adiabatic interactions into account. Using the techniques presented in Section 3.1 we integrate the wavefunction outwards at $k_0 = 1 \times 10^{-7}$ a.u. to $R_{\text{max}} = 500a_0$ and obtain a scattering length for various level of approximation:

$$a = \begin{cases} 0.5699a_0 & \text{BO} \\ 0.4160a_0 & \text{AD} \\ 0.2572a_0 & \text{NA} \\ 0.2735a_0 & \text{NA}, \ m\alpha^4, \ m\alpha^5, \ m\alpha^6. \end{cases}$$
(13)

Using the dispersion coefficients for the BO [91] and adiabatic potentials [92] (the exchange interaction is negligible at this distance) we confirm that the asymptotic scattering length is obtained by using the extrapolation procedure given in [93]. The value obtained for the adiabatic scattering length agrees with the value given by Wolniewicz [89]. It is also interesting to note, that the approximate nonadiabatic scattering length obtained using the atomic reduced mass is $0.2651a_0$, deviating by only 3% from the value obtained using NAPT. The deviation to previously reported values obtained using the same approximation is related to the improved BO and adiabatic potential energy curves [94].

The obtained scattering lengths are verified by comparing to [95]

$$a = \frac{2\mu_n}{k^2} \int_0^\infty \sin(kR) \mathcal{V}(R) \chi(R; k = k_0) \, \mathrm{d}R, \quad (14)$$

and we find agreement at the 0.02% level for the BO and adiabatic level of approximation. As was found in Ref. [94], (14) can also be used to estimate changes of the scattering length δa caused by a change of the potential $\delta \mathcal{V}(R)$, by replacing $\mathcal{V}(R) \rightarrow \delta \mathcal{V}(R)$ and $\sin(kR) \rightarrow \chi(R; k = k_0)$. We find $\delta a^{m\alpha^4} = 0.0156a_0$, $\delta a^{m\alpha^5} = -0.0007a_0$ and $\delta a^{m\alpha^6} = 0.0014a_0$, respectively.

The experimental verification of the NAPT approach for the shape resonances can be used to determine an uncertainty of the scattering length. We studied the change of the scattering length when using the abovementioned relative uncertainties for the individual parts of the potential or the *R*-dependent reduced masses. This allows to attribute the experimental uncertainty of the shape-resonance intervals (~90 MHz) to only one part of the nuclear Schrödinger equation. It is found that a change of the vibrational reduced mass μ_{\parallel} has the largest effect, resulting in an experimentally verified singlet scattering length of

$$a = 0.2735_{31}^{39}a_0. \tag{15}$$

6. Conclusion

In the present study five quasi-bound resonances of the H_2 molecule are produced in the two-photon UV photolysis of H_2S , where four of those persist as long-lived transition states. Proof of their production and detection is provided by comparing experimental two-photon transition frequencies to computed level splittings between $F^1\Sigma_g^+$ and $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ levels. Computation of rotational-state dependent Franck-Condon factors, compared with the observation of enhanced intensities, provides further verification of the assignments. Also the step-wise excitation from quasi-bound states into the continuum provides an angular momentum label as supporting evidence.

Highly accurate calculations of $X^1 \Sigma_{q}^+(v,J)$ level energies are performed, for which an existing framework of non-adiabatic perturbation theory (NAPT) [60,80] is extended into the region above the dissociation threshold. The present precision measurement allows for a test of the H₂ potential energy curve, comprising a Born-Oppenheimer potential, adiabatic, non-adiabatic and relativistic corrections, as well as QED-corrections up to order $m\alpha^6$. The H₂ potential is tested now over a wide range of internuclear separations and energies, by comparison of computed level energies to a set of data on infrared transitions, dissociation energy and quasi-bound resonances at MHz accuracy. The precision measurement of the quasi-bound resonances specifically probes and tests the non-adiabatic correction to the H₂ potential. This well-tested H₂ potential can be applied in a computation of the scattering length, resolving a decade old disagreement in the determination of the non-adiabatic singlet s-wave scattering length of the H(1s) + H(1s) collision.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Prof. Frederic Merkt (ETH Zürich) for discussions motivating this work.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This work is financially supported by the European Research Council through an ERC Advanced grant (No. 670168). M.B. acknowledges NWO for a VENI grant (VI.Veni.202.140).

References

- J. Komasa, K. Piszczatowski, G. Łach, M. Przybytek, B. Jeziorski and K. Pachucki, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 7, 3105 (2011). doi:10.1021/ct200438t
- [2] J.H. Black and A. Dalgarno, Astroph. J. 203, 132 (1976). doi:10.1086/154055
- [3] W. Heitler and F. London, Z. Phys. 44, 455 (1927). doi:10.1007/BF01397394
- [4] H.M. James and A.S. Coolidge, J. Chem. Phys. 1, 825 (1933). doi:10.1063/1.1749252
- [5] W. Kołos and C.C.J. Roothaan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 32, 219 (1960). doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.32.219
- [6] L. Wolniewicz, J. Chem. Phys. 99, 1851 (1993). doi:10. 1063/1.465303
- [7] L. Wolniewicz, J. Chem. Phys. 103, 1792 (1995). doi:10. 1063/1.469753
- [8] B. Simmen, E. Mátyus and M. Reiher, Mol. Phys. 111, 2086 (2013). doi:10.1080/00268976.2013.783938
- [9] M. Puchalski, A. Spyszkiewicz, J. Komasa and K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 073001 (2018). doi:10.11 03/PhysRevLett.121.073001
- [10] L.M. Wang and Z.-C. Yan, Phys. Rev. A 97, 060501 (2018). doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.97.060501
- [11] M. Puchalski, J. Komasa, A. Spyszkiewicz and K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. A 100, 020503 (2019). doi:10.1103/ PhysRevA.100.020503
- [12] M. Puchalski, J. Komasa, P. Czachorowski and K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 103003 (2019). doi:10.110 3/PhysRevLett.122.103003
- [13] G. Herzberg and A. Monfils, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 5, 482 (1961). doi:10.1016/0022-2852(61)90111-4
- [14] G. Herzberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1081 (1969). doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.23.1081
- [15] A. Balakrishnan, V. Smith and B.P. Stoicheff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2149 (1992). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.68.2149
- [16] Y.P. Zhang, C.H. Cheng, J.T. Kim, J. Stanojevic and E.E. Eyler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 203003 (2004). doi:10.1103/Phys RevLett.92.203003

- [17] J. Liu, E.J. Salumbides, U. Hollenstein, J.C.J. Koelemeij, K.S.E. Eikema, W. Ubachs and F. Merkt, J. Chem. Phys. 130, 174306 (2009). doi:10.1063/1.3120443
- [18] C.-F. Cheng, J. Hussels, M. Niu, H.L. Bethlem, K.S.E. Eikema, E.J. Salumbides, W. Ubachs, M. Beyer, N. Hölsch, J.A. Agner, F. Merkt, L.-G. Tao, S.-M. Hu and C. Jungen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 013001 (2018). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.013001
- [19] M. Beyer, N. Hölsch, J. Hussels, C.-F. Cheng, E.J. Salumbides, K.S.E. Eikema, W. Ubachs, C. Jungen and F. Merkt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 163002 (2019). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.163002
- [20] N. Hölsch, M. Beyer, E.J. Salumbides, K.S.E. Eikema, W. Ubachs, C. Jungen and F. Merkt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 103002 (2019). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.103002
- [21] E.J. Salumbides, G.D. Dickenson, T.I. Ivanov and W. Ubachs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 043005 (2011). doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.107.043005
- [22] C.-F. Cheng, Y.R. Sun, H. Pan, J. Wang, A.-W. Liu, A. Campargue and S.-M. Hu, Phys. Rev. A 85, 024501 (2012). doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.85.024501
- [23] S.-M. Hu, H. Pan, C.-F. Cheng, Y.R. Sun, X.-F. Li, J. Wang, A. Campargue and A.-W. Liu, Astrophys. J. 749, 76 (2012). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/749/1/76
- [24] A. Campargue, S. Kassi, K. Pachucki and J. Komasa, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 14, 802 (2012). doi:10.1039/C1CP2 2912E
- [25] G.D. Dickenson, M.L. Niu, E.J. Salumbides, J. Komasa, K.S.E. Eikema, K. Pachucki and W. Ubachs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 193601 (2013). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110. 193601
- [26] M.L. Niu, E.J. Salumbides, G.D. Dickenson, K.S.E. Eikema and W. Ubachs, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **300**, 44 (2014). doi:10. 1016/j.jms.2014.03.011
- [27] E.J. Salumbides, J.C.J. Koelemeij, J. Komasa, K. Pachucki, K.S.E. Eikema and W. Ubachs, Phys. Rev. D 87, 112008 (2013). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.112008
- [28] E.J. Salumbides, A.N. Schellekens, B. Gato-Rivera and W. Ubachs, New J. Phys. 17, 033015 (2015). doi:10.1088/13 67-2630/17/3/033015
- [29] W. Ubachs, J.C.J. Koelemeij, K.S.E. Eikema and E.J. Salumbides, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 320, 1 (2016). doi:10.1016/ j.jms.2015.12.003
- [30] G. Herzberg, Spectra of Diatomic Molecules, 2nd ed. (Van Nostrand, 1950).
- [31] O. Oldenberg, Z. Phys. 56, 563–575 (1929). doi:10.1007/ BF01338867
- [32] E. Bengtsson and R. Rydberg, Z. Phys. 59, 540 (1930). doi:10.1007/BF01336959
- [33] M. Beyer and F. Merkt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 093001 (2016). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.093001
- [34] M. Beyer and F. Merkt, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 330, 147 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.jms.2016.08.001
- [35] I. Dabrowski, Can. J. Phys. 62, 1639 (1984). doi:10.1139/ p84-210
- [36] J.-Y. Roncin and F. Launay, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data Monogr. 4, 1 (1994). https://srd.nist.gov/JPCRD/jpcrd M4.pdf.
- [37] R.J. Le Roy and R.B. Bernstein, J. Chem. Phys. 54, 5114 (1971). doi:10.1063/1.1674805
- [38] M. Selg, Eur. Phys. Lett. 96, 10009 (2011). doi:10.1209/02 95-5075/96/10009

- [39] M. Selg, J. Chem. Phys, **136**, 114113 (2012). doi:10.1063/1. 3692968
- [40] J. Steadman and T. Baer, J. Chem. Phys. 91, 6113 (1989). doi:10.1063/1.457430
- [41] M.L. Niu, E.J. Salumbides and W. Ubachs, J. Chem. Phys. 143, 081102 (2015). doi:10.1063/1.4929568
- [42] T.M. Trivikram, M.L. Niu, P. Wcisło, W. Ubachs and E.J. Salumbides, Appl. Phys. B 122, 895 (2016). doi:10.1007/ s00340-016-6570-1
- [43] T.M. Trivikram, E.J. Salumbides, C. Jungen and W. Ubachs, Mol. Phys. 117, 2961 (2019). doi:10.1080/002689 76.2019.1599457
- [44] K.-F. Lai, M. Beyer, E.J. Salumbides and W. Ubachs, J. Phys. Chem. A 125, 1221 (2021). doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.0c1 1136
- [45] M.S. Quinn, K. Nauta and S.H. Kable, Mol. Phys. 119, e1836412 (2021). doi:10.1080/00268976.2020.1836412
- [46] K.-F. Lai, E.J. Salumbides, W. Ubachs and M. Beyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 183001 (2021). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 127.183001
- [47] G. Tarczay, A.G. Császár, O.L. Polyansky and J. Tennyson, J. Chem. Phys. 115, 1229 (2001). doi:10.1063/1.1379971
- [48] J. Steadman, S.K. Cole and T. Baer, J. Chem. Phys. **89**, 5498 (1988). doi:10.1063/1.455602
- [49] K.-F. Lai, E.J. Salumbides and W. Ubachs, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 53, 175002 (2020). doi:10.1088/1361-64 55/ab9c37
- [50] H. Masuko, Y. Morioka, M. Nakamura, E. Ishiguro and M. Sasanuma, Can. J. Phys. 57, 745 (1979). doi:10.1139/p79-106
- [51] C.A. Mayhew, J.-P. Connerade, M.A. Baig, M.N.R. Ashfold, J.M. Bayley, R.N. Dixon and J.D. Prince, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 83, 417 (1987). doi:10.1039/f29878 300417
- [52] M. Pericou-Cayere, M. Gelize and A. Dargelos, Chem. Phys. 214, 81 (1997). doi:10.1016/S0301-0104(96)0030 0-X
- [53] J. Zhou, Y. Zhao, C.S. Hansen, J. Yang, Y. Chang, Y. Yu, G. Cheng, Z. Chen, Z. He, S. Yu, H. Ding, W. Zhang, G. Wu, D. Dai, C.M. Western, M.N. Ashfold, K. Yuan and X. Yang, Nat. Commun. 11, 481 (2020). doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14387-w
- [54] Y. Zhao, Z. Luo, Y. Chang, Y. Wu, S. Zhang, Z. Li, H. Ding, G. Wu, J.S. Campbell, C.S. Hansen, S.W. Crane, C.M. Western, M.N.R. Ashfold, K. Yuan and X. Yang, Nat. Commun. 12, 481 (2021). doi:10.1038/s41467-020-207 86-w
- [55] K.S.E. Eikema, W. Ubachs, W. Vassen and W. Hogervorst, Phys. Rev. A 55, 1866 (1997). doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.55. 1866
- [56] K.-F. Lai, V. Hermann, T.M. Trivikram, M. Diouf, M. Schlösser, W. Ubachs and E.J. Salumbides, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 22, 8973 (2020). doi:10.1039/D0CP00596G
- [57] S. Hannemann, E.J. Salumbides and W. Ubachs, Opt. Lett. 32, 1381 (2007). doi:10.1364/OL.32.001381
- [58] J. Komasa, Adv. Quantum Chem. 48, 151 (2005). doi:10. 1016/S0065-3276(05)48011-9
- [59] W. Kołos and L. Wolniewicz, J. Chem. Phys. 46, 1426 (1967). doi:10.1063/1.1840870
- [60] P. Czachorowski, M. Puchalski, J. Komasa and K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. A 98, 052506 (2018). doi:10.1103/ PhysRevA.98.052506

- [61] W. Kutzelnigg, Mol. Phys. 105, 2627 (2010). doi:10.1080/ 00268970701604671
- [62] R. Jaquet and W. Kutzelnigg, Chem. Phys. **346**, 69 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.chemphys.2008.02.068
- [63] J. Komasa, M. Puchalski, P. Czachorowski, G. Lach and K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. A 100, 032519 (2019). doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.100.032519
- [64] K. Pachucki and J. Komasa, J. Chem. Phys. 141, 224103 (2014). doi:10.1063/1.4902981
- [65] K. Pachucki and J. Komasa, J. Chem. Phys. 143, 034111 (2015). doi:10.1063/1.4927079
- [66] K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. A 82, 032509 (2010). doi:10.1103/ PhysRevA.82.032509
- [67] J.P. Leroy and R. Wallace, J. Phys. Chem. 89, 1928 (1985). doi:10.1021/j100256a023
- [68] B.R. Johnson, J. Chem. Phys. 67, 4086 (1999). doi:10.1063/ 1.435384
- [69] E. Tiesinga, P.J. Mohr, D.B. Newell and B.N. Taylor,
 J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 50, 033105 (2021). doi:10. 1063/5.0064853
- [70] D.T. Colbert and W.H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. 96, 1982 (1992). doi:10.1063/1.462100
- [71] F.T. Smith, Phys. Rev. 118, 349 (1960). doi:10.1103/Phys Rev.118.349
- [72] M. Siłkowski, M. Zientkiewicz and K. Pachucki, Adv. Quantum Chem. 83, 255 (2021). doi:10.1016/bs.aiq.2021. 05.012
- [73] L. Wolniewicz and K. Dressler, J. Chem. Phys. 100, 444 (1994). doi:10.1063/1.466957
- [74] L. Wolniewicz, J. Chem. Phys. 109, 2254 (1998). doi:10.10 63/1.476852
- [75] V.I. Korobov, Mol. Phys. 116, 93 (2018). doi:10.1080/002 68976.2017.1367427
- [76] S. Yu and K. Dressler, J. Chem. Phys. 101, 7692 (1994). doi:10.1063/1.468263
- [77] L. Wolniewicz and J. Poll, Mol. Phys. 59, 953 (1986). doi:10.1080/00268978600102501
- [78] G.D. Dickenson, E.J. Salumbides, M. Niu, C. Jungen, S.C. Ross and W. Ubachs, Phys. Rev. A 86, 032502 (2012). doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.86.032502

- [79] D. Bailly, E. Salumbides, M. Vervloet and W. Ubachs, Mol. Phys. 108, 827 (2010). doi:10.1080/00268970903413350
- [80] H2SPECTRE ver 7.0 Fortran source code (University of Warsaw, Poland, 2019).
- [81] P. Wcisło, I.E. Gordon, C.-F. Cheng, S.-M. Hu and R. Ciuryło, Phys. Rev. A 93, 022501 (2016). doi:10.1103/ PhysRevA.93.022501
- [82] S. Kassi and A. Campargue, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 300, 55 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.jms.2014.03.022
- [83] R.C. Forrey, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 49, 194002 (2016). doi:10.1088/0953-4075/49/19/194002
- [84] J.P. Wittke and R.H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. 103, 620 (1956). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.103.620
- [85] U.D. Jentschura, C.M. Adhikari, R. Dawes, A. Matveev and N. Kolachevsky, J. Phys. B 52, 075005 (2019). doi:10.1088/1361-6455/ab08cc-1
- [86] A. Matveev, N. Kolachevsky, C.M. Adhikari and U.D. Jentschura, J. Phys. B 52, 075006 (2019). doi:10.1088/13 61-6455/ab08e1-1
- [87] D.G. Fried, T.C. Killian, L. Willmann, D. Landhuis, S.C. Moss, D. Kleppner and T.J. Greytak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3811 (1998). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.3811
- [88] M.J. Jamieson, A.S. Cheung and H. Ouerdane, Eur. Phys.
 J. D 56, 181 (2010). doi:10.1140/epjd/e2009-00280-8
- [89] L. Wolniewicz and G. Staszewska, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 217, 181 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0022-2852(02)00047-4
- [90] A.K. Belyaev, Phys. Rev. A 82, 060701 (2010). doi:10.11 03/PhysRevA.82.060701
- [91] Z.-C. Yan, J.F. Babb, A. Dalgarno and G.W.F. Drake, Phys. Rev. A 54, 2824 (1996). doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.54.2824
- [92] M. Przybytek and B. Jeziorski, Chem. Phys. 401, 170 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.chemphys.2011.10.006
- [93] R. Szmytkowski, J. Phys. A 28, 7333 (1995). doi:10.1088/ 0305-4470/28/24/027
- [94] M.J. Jamieson and A. Dalgarno, J. Phys. B 31, L219 (1998). doi:10.1088/0953-4075/31/5/002
- [95] T. Wu and T. Ohmura, *Quantum Theory of Scattering* (Prentice-Hall, Englewood, NJ, 1962).